NO. 81045-1



### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

In re Personal Restraint Petition of ANTHONY LAMOUNT BRADLEY,

Petitioner.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

DANIEL T. SATTERBERG King County Prosecuting Attorney

ANN SUMMERS Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Attorneys for Respondent

> King County Prosecuting Attorney W554 King County Courthouse 516 3rd Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-9650

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
A.	<u>AUT</u>	HORITY FOR RESTRAINT OF PETITIONER	1
В.	<u>ISSU</u>	E PRESENTED	1
C.	STAT	EMENT OF THE CASE	2
D.	<u>ARGI</u>	<u>JMENT</u>	4
	1.	IN CAUSE NO. 02-C-04718-8 SEA BRADLEY WAS SENTENCED WITH AN INCORRECT OFFENDER SCORE AND STANDARD RANGE	4
	2.	IN CAUSE NO. 02-1-07413-4 SEA BRADLEY WAS SENTENCED WITH A CORRECT OFFENDER SCORE AND STANDARD RANGE	7
	3.	BRADLEY HAS FAILED TO ESTABLISH THAT WITHDRAWAL OF HIS PLEA TO POSSESSION OF COCAINE IN KING COUNTY CAUSE NO. 02-C-04718-8 SEA IS NECESSARY TO CORRECT A MANIFEST INJUSTICE	8
	4.	BRADLEY HAS FAILED TO ESTABLISH THAT THIS WAS A SINGLE INDIVISIBLE PLEA AGREEMENT, SUCH THAT WITHDRAWAL OF THE PLEA AS TO ONE CASE NECESSARILY RESULTS IN WITHDRAWAL OF THE PLEA ON THE OTHER CASE	13
E.	CON	CLUSION	15

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

# Table of Cases

Page

Washington State:	
<u>In re Pers. Restraint of Connick,</u> 144 Wn.2d 442, 28 P.3d 729 (2001)	5
In re Pers. Restraint of Cook, 114 Wn. 2d 802, 792 P.2d 506 (1990)	4, 5
In re Pers. Restraint of Haverty, 101 Wn.2d 498, 681 P.2d 835 (1984)	∠
<u>In re Pers. Restraint of Hemenway,</u> 147 Wn.2d 529, 55 P.3d 615 (2002)	6
In re Pers. Restraint of Isadore, 151 Wn.2d 294, 88 P.3d 390 (2004)	11, 12
<u>In re Pers. Restraint of Johnson,</u> 131 Wn.2d 558, 933 P.2d 1019 (1997)	5
In re Pers. Restraint of Runyan, 121 Wn.2d 432, 853 P.2d 424 (1993)	5
In re Pers. Restraint of Shale, 160 Wn.2d 489, 158 P.3d 588 (2007)	14
<u>In re Pers. Restraint of Thompson,</u> 141 Wn.2d 712, 10 P.3d 380 (2000)	5
<u>State v. Acevedo,</u> 137 Wn.2d 179, 970 P.2d 299 (1999)	10, 11
<u>State v. Barton,</u> 93 Wn.2d 301, 609 P.2d 1353 (1980)	8, 9
State v. Bisson, 156 Wn.2d 507,	. 14

<u>State V. Mendoza, 157 VVn.2d 582,</u> 141 P.3d 49 (2006)
<u>State v. Robinson,</u> 104 Wn. App. 657, 17 P.3d 653 (2001)6
<u>State v. Smith</u> , 144 Wn.2d 665, 30 P.2d 1245 (2001)6
<u>State v. Turley,</u> 149 Wn.2d 395, 69 P.3d 338 (2003)13, 14
<u>State v. Varga,</u> 151 Wn.2d 179, 86 P.3d 139 (2004)6
Statutes
Washington State:
RCW 9.94A.3606
RCW 9.94A.51013
RCW 9.94A.51513
RCW 9.94A.525 6, 13, 15
RCW 9.94A.5899, 15
RCW 10.73.0905
Rules and Regulations
Washington State:
CrR 4.2
RAP 16.44
CrR 4.2

#### A. <u>AUTHORITY FOR RESTRAINT OF PETITIONER.</u>

Anthony Bradley is restrained pursuant to judgment and sentence in King County Superior Court No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA and 02-1-07413-4 SEA. Appendix A and B.

#### B. <u>ISSUE PRESENTED.</u>

A plea is involuntary if a defendant is misinformed about a direct consequence of the plea. A direct consequence has been defined by this Court as a consequence that has a definite, immediate and automatic effect on the range of the defendant's punishment. Should this personal restraint petition be dismissed where petitioner was misinformed about the standard range for one of the two crimes to which he pled guilty, but where the standard range for that crime was not a direct consequence because it did not have definite, immediate or automatic effect on his punishment where the law required that it be served concurrently with the greater sentence.

#### C. <u>STATEMENT OF THE CASE.</u>

Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA.

Anthony Bradley was charged by information with the crime of possession of cocaine with intent to deliver. Appendix C. The Certification for Determination of Probable Cause reflects that on May 14, 2002, Bradley and a companion were arrested after police officers observed them engage in what appeared to be a drug transaction. Several small pieces of crack cocaine were found in Bradley's pocket, and 19.8 grams of crack cocaine were found in his companion's pocket. Appendix C. Bradley pled guilty to an amended charge of possession of cocaine on September 26, 2002. Appendix D. Pursuant to the plea agreement, Bradley agreed that his standard range was 33-43 months based on an offender score of eight. Appendix D. The parties agreed to recommend a 33-month sentence, which would be served concurrently with King County Cause No. 02-1-07413-4 SEA. Appendix D. Instead, the court imposed a Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative (DOSA) consisting of 19 months of confinement and 19 months of community custody to be served concurrently with Cause No. 02-1-07413-4 SEA. Appendix A. The judgment and sentence was

filed with the clerk of the trial court on October 17, 2002.

Appendix A. Bradley did not appeal.

Cause No. 02-1-07413-4 SEA.

Bradley was charged by information with the crime of possession of cocaine with intent to deliver. Appendix E. The Certification for Determination of Probable Cause reflects that on August 16, 2002, the police served a search warrant on the home of Joyce Hayes. Appendix E. When the police entered the home, they saw Bradley throw a plastic bag containing 33 grams of crack cocaine to the ground. Appendix E. An additional 61 grams of crack and flake cocaine were found in the residence. Appendix E. Bradley pled guilty as charged on September 26, 2002 (the same day that he pled guilty to possession of cocaine in Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA). Appendix E. Pursuant to the plea agreement, Bradley agreed that his standard range was 87-116 months based on an offender score of nine. Appendix E. The State recommended an 87-month sentence to be served concurrently with Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA. Appendix E. The court imposed a Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative (DOSA) consisting of 50.75 months of confinement and 50.75 months of community custody to be served concurrently with Cause No.

02-C-04718-8 SEA. Appendix B. The judgment and sentence was filed with the clerk of the trial court on October 17, 2002.

Appendix B. Bradley did not appeal.<sup>1</sup>

#### D. ARGUMENT.

1. IN CAUSE NO. 02-C-04718-8 SEA BRADLEY WAS SENTENCED WITH AN INCORRECT OFFENDER SCORE AND STANDARD RANGE.

Bradley contends that his sentence in Cause No.

02-C-04718-8 SEA is invalid because his offender score was incorrectly calculated. He is correct.

An appellate court will grant substantive review of a personal restraint petition only when the petitioner makes a threshold showing of constitutional error from which he has suffered actual prejudice or nonconstitutional error which constitutes a fundamental defect that inherently resulted in a complete miscarriage of justice.

In re Pers. Restraint of Cook, 114 Wn. 2d 802, 813, 792 P.2d 506

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In a prior personal restraint petition, Court of Appeals No. 53154-9-I, Bradley challenged the sentence imposed in King County Cause No. 02-1-07413-4 SEA. That petition was dismissed by the Court of Appeals in 2004. Inexplicably, he did not challenge the sentence imposed in King County Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA in the prior petition. Because Bradley raises a new, meritorious claim in this petition, this petition is not a successive petition "for similar relief" and is not prohibited by RAP 16.4(d). See In re Pers. Restraint of Haverty, 101 Wn.2d 498, 503, 681 P.2d 835 (1984).

(1990). A miscalculated offender score constitutes a fundamental defect that inherently results in a complete miscarriage of justice. <u>In re Pers. Restraint of Johnson</u>, 131 Wn.2d 558, 933 P.2d 1019 (1997). The petitioner bears the burden of showing that his offender score was incorrect. <u>In re Pers. Restraint of Connick</u>, 144 Wn.2d 442, 28 P.3d 729 (2001).

No petition collaterally attacking a judgment and sentence may be filed more than one year after the judgment becomes final, if the judgment and sentence is valid on its face and was rendered by a court of competent jurisdiction. RCW 10.73.090(1); see In re Pers. Restraint of Runyan, 121 Wn.2d 432, 444, 449, 853 P.2d 424 (1993). A judgment becomes final on the date that it is filed with the clerk of the trial court if no appeal is filed. RCW 10.73.090(3). The judgment in this case became final on October 17, 2002, when it was filed with the clerk of the trial court. Appendix A and C. This petition was filed more than four years later.

However, the one-year time limit only applies if the judgment and sentence is "valid on its face." RCW 10.73.090(1). A judgment is valid on its face unless the judgment evidences an error without further elaboration. In re Pers. Restraint of Thompson, 141 Wn.2d 712, 10 P.3d 380 (2000). Facial invalidity has been interpreted to

include those documents signed as part of a plea agreement as well as the judgment and sentence itself. State v. Robinson, 104 Wn. App. 657, 17 P.3d 653 (2001). The documents of the plea can inform the inquiry as to whether the judgment and sentence is invalid on its face. In re Pers. Restraint of Hemenway, 147 Wn.2d 529, 55 P.3d 615 (2002).

The parties agree that pursuant to <u>State v. Smith</u>, 144 Wn.2d 665, 30 P.2d 1245 (2001), Bradley's juvenile adjudications could not be included in his offender score in Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA. For crimes that occurred before June 13, 2002, juvenile adjudications for class B and C felonies "washed out" when the defendant reached the age of 23. Former RCW 9.94A.360(4). <u>Smith</u>, 144 Wn.2d at 670-71.

In 2002, the legislature enacted RCW 9.94A.525, which applies the same "wash-out" principles to both adult convictions and juvenile adjudications. RCW 9.94A.525(2). This Court held that the 2002 amendments allow for the inclusion of juvenile offenses in the offender score for crimes committed after June 13, 2002. State v. Varga, 151 Wn.2d 179, 86 P.3d 139 (2004).

Because Bradley's crime in Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA occurred on May 31, 2002, <u>Smith</u> applies. Thus, Bradley's juvenile

offenses "washed out" for purposes of this conviction on his 23<sup>rd</sup> birthday, which was on September 16, 1997. Only his prior adult convictions should have been included in his offender score.

Bradley's offender score should have been calculated as seven points, rather than eight points. He should have been advised that his offender score was 22 to 29 months rather than 33 to 43 months.

2. IN CAUSE NO. 02-1-07413-4 SEA BRADLEY WAS SENTENCED WITH A CORRECT OFFENDER SCORE AND STANDARD RANGE.

Bradley also contended in his petition that his offender score in Cause No. 02-1-07413-4 SEA was incorrectly calculated. In his brief to this Court, he now agrees that his offender score in that case was correctly calculated.<sup>2</sup> The crime at issue in this cause number was committed on August 16, 2002, after the 2002 amendment to the scoring rules went into effect. Thus, Bradley's juvenile adjudications were properly included in his offender score. Bradley's offender score was properly calculated to be nine, and his standard range was properly calculated to be 87 to 116 months.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Granted, he was not misinformed as to the other offense." Supplemental Brief of Petitioner, at 8.

The judgment and sentence in Cause No. 02-1-07413-4 SEA is valid on its face.

3. BRADLEY HAS FAILED TO ESTABLISH THAT WITHDRAWAL OF HIS PLEA TO POSSESSION OF COCAINE IN KING COUNTY CAUSE NO. 02-C-04718-8 SEA IS NECESSARY TO CORRECT A MANIFEST INJUSTICE.

Bradley contends that due to the error in the offender score in Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA he should be allowed to withdraw both of his pleas. However, because he knew that his sentences would be served concurrently, the standard range for the lesser sentence was not a direct consequence of his plea. Thus, he has failed to establish that his plea was involuntary and that withdrawal of the plea is necessary to correct a manifest injustice.

The constitution requires that a plea of guilty be voluntary.

State v. Barton, 93 Wn.2d 301, 304, 609 P.2d 1353 (1980).

CrR 4.2 provides additional safeguards. Barton, 93 Wn.2d at 304.

That rule requires that a defendant be informed of all direct consequences of the plea. Id. at 305. A direct consequence of a plea is a consequence that "represents a definite, immediate and largely automatic effect on the range of the defendant's

punishment." <u>State v. Mendoza</u>, 157 Wn.2d 582, 588, 141 P.3d 49 (2006) (quoting <u>Barton</u>, 93 Wn.2d at 305).

CrR 4.2(f) provides that courts should allow a defendant to withdraw a guilty plea when withdrawal is necessary to correct a manifest injustice. <u>Barton</u>, 93 Wn.2d at 306. The failure to advise a defendant of the direct consequences of the plea constitutes a manifest injustice. <u>Id.</u> Generally, a defendant may move to withdraw his plea of guilty if he is misadvised as to the standard range regardless of whether the correct range is higher or lower than anticipated. <u>Mendoza</u>, 157 Wn.2d at 591.

However, in the unique circumstances presented here, the standard range as to possession of cocaine was not a direct consequence of Bradley's plea. The pleas to possession and possession with intent to deliver were entered on the same date and the two cases were sentenced on the same date. The parties agreed that the time served on both cause numbers would be served concurrently, as mandated by RCW 9.94A.589(1).<sup>3</sup>
Because Bradley's sentence on the possession charge was to be served concurrently with his sentence on the possession with intent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> RCW 9.94A.589(1) provides that sentences for two or more current offenses "shall be served concurrently."

charge, for which the standard range was 87 to 116 months, the difference between a standard range of 22 to 29 months or 33 to 43 months did not have a definite, immediate or automatic effect on the range of Bradley's total punishment: he is serving 50.75 months total incarceration for the DOSA sentence either way.

This case is analogous to State v. Acevedo, 137 Wn.2d 179, 970 P.2d 299 (1999). In that case, the defendant was not informed during the plea process that he would be required to serve one year of community placement. Id. at 185. While this Court had previously held that community placement was a direct consequence of a plea, a plurality of the court held that in Acevedo's case, community placement was not a direct consequence of his plea because he was facing deportation immediately upon release. Id. at 196-98. Justice Johnson concurred in the result, but dissented from the plurality's conclusion that community placement was not a direct consequence because deportation was not a certainty. Id. at 204 (Johnson J., concurring). Justice Alexander dissented, but stated that he would have agreed with the majority if deportation was a certainty. Id. at 207 (Alexander, J., dissenting).

In contrast, in the present case, it was a certainty that Bradley would receive a concurrent sentence of at least 87 months total confinement, or a DOSA consisting of 50.75 months of confinement and 50.75 months of community custody, as to possession of cocaine with intent to deliver. Thus, his much lower standard range on the possession charge was not a consequence with a definite, immediate and automatic effect on Bradley's total punishment. As the plurality concluded in <u>Acevedo</u>, one cannot logically conclude that the standard range for possession was direct consequence of his plea of guilty. The misadvisement as to the standard range in Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA did not render his plea involuntary. Bradley has failed to establish that withdrawal of the pleas is necessary to correct a manifest injustice.

In In re Pers. Restraint of Isadore, 151 Wn.2d 294, 302, 88 P.3d 390 (2004), this Court held that when a petitioner establishes that he was misinformed as to a direct consequence of his plea he need not make a showing that the misinformation was material to his decision to plead guilty. This Court reasoned that such an inquiry into materiality would be too difficult, as "[a] reviewing court cannot determine with certainty how a defendant arrived at his personal decision to plead guilty." Id. at 302. In the present case,

the State is not requesting that this Court engage in the type of a materiality analysis it rejected in <a href="Isadore">Isadore</a>. As argued above, in these unique circumstances the standard range as to possession was not a direct consequence of the plea. Moreover, this Court need not inquire into the defendant's mental processes to conclude that where a defendant has received misinformation during the plea process that has no practical effect on the range of his punishment, there is no way it could have affected the defendant's decision to plead guilty.

Finally, Bradley surmises in the supplemental brief that if he had known his standard range for possession was slightly lower he might have elected to go to trial on that charge, citing State v.

Mendoza, supra. In making this argument, he fails to appreciate that the charge of possession of cocaine was a reduction in the original charge pursuant to the plea agreement. Bradley was originally charged with possession with intent to deliver, and the facts reflected in the Certification for Determination of Probable Cause support that charge. If Bradley had elected to go to trial in Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA he would have been tried on the

original charge of possession with intent to deliver, which would have carried a much higher standard range.<sup>4</sup>

4. BRADLEY HAS FAILED TO ESTABLISH THAT THIS WAS A SINGLE INDIVISIBLE PLEA AGREEMENT, SUCH THAT WITHDRAWAL OF THE PLEA AS TO ONE CASE NECESSARILY RESULTS IN WITHDRAWAL OF THE PLEA ON THE OTHER CASE.

It is the State's position that Bradley is not entitled to withdrawal of either of his pleas. However, in the event that this Court agrees that Bradley should be allowed to withdraw his plea of guilty to possession of cocaine, this Court should hold that withdrawal of his plea of guilty to possession with intent to deliver cocaine is not warranted where he has failed to establish that this was a single indivisible package deal.

In <u>State v. Turley</u>, 149 Wn.2d 395, 400, 69 P.3d 338 (2003), this Court held that a plea agreement must be treated as indivisible "when pleas to multiple counts or charges were made at the same

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If convicted as charged of possession with intent to deliver cocaine in Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA, he would have had an offender score of 9 (one point each for his six prior adult felony convictions, and three points for the other current felony drug conviction) with a standard range of 87 to 116, even without counting the juvenile adjudications, the same as his standard range for Cause No. 02-1-07413-4 SEA. See Former RCW 9.94A.525(12), 9.94A.510 and 9.94A.515.

time, described in one document, and accepted in a single proceeding." See also State v. Bisson, 156 Wn.2d 507, 519, 130 P.3d 820 (2006). In In re Pers. Restraint of Shale, 160 Wn.2d 489, 494, 158 P.3d 588 (2007), this Court found an indivisible plea agreement where the petitioner pled guilty to multiple charges charged in separate documents, but where the crimes were all committed on the same day, the pleas were signed on the same day and refer to one another.

In the present case, there is insufficient "objective manifestations" to support the conclusion that these two pleas constituted an indivisible plea agreement. See Turley, 149 Wn.2d at 400. Unlike Turley and Bisson, the charges at issue here were charged in separate documents and cause numbers. Unlike Shale, the crimes did not occur on the same day, but rather were committed months apart: May 14, 2002, and August 16, 2002. Although the pleas were entered on the same day before the same judge, there is no indication that the pleas were conducted in a single proceeding. There are separate minute entries for the two pleas. Appendix F and G. Bradley argues that the two plea agreements "cross-referenced" one another. The "cross-reference" is the inclusion of each case in the criminal history of the other and

the recommendation that the sentences be served concurrently with each other, both of which are required by the provisions of RCW 9.94A.589(1)(a).<sup>5</sup> The fact that the State recommended the sentence required by law is not evidence of an indivisible plea agreement. There is insufficient objective evidence to conclude that the two pleas were considered an indivisible plea deal by the parties. Bradley should not be allowed to withdraw his plea of guilty to possession with intent to deliver cocaine in King County Cause No. 02-1-07413-4 SEA, which was a knowing, voluntary and intelligent plea that is valid on its face.

#### E. CONCLUSION.

This petition should be granted in part only, and the matter remanded to correct the judgment and sentence in King County

Cause No. 02-C-04718-8 so that it reflects the correct offender

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> RCW 9.94A.589(1)(a) provides, in relevant part, that: "[w]henever a person is to be sentenced for two or more current offenses, the sentence range for each current offense shall be determined by using all other current and prior convictions as if they were prior convictions for purposes of the offender score. Sentences imposed under this subsection shall be served concurrently." Pursuant to RCW 9.94A.525, "[c]onvictions entered or sentenced on the same date as the conviction for which the offender score is being computed shall be deemed 'other current offenses' within the meaning of RCW 9.94A.589."

score, standard range and sentence. As to King County Cause No.

02-1-07413-3 SEA, the petition should be dismissed.

DATED this 24th day of July, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL T. SATTERBERG King County Prosecuting Attorney

ANN SUMMERS, WSBA #21509

Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Attorneys for Respondent Office WSBA #91002



COMMITMENT ISSUED

02 OCT 17 AM 10: 00

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK SEATTLE, WA.

### SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,	)
Plaintiff,	No. 02 CO47188SEA
Vs.	) JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE
14	) FELONY
anthony bradley.	)
•	)
Defendant,	_)
I. I  I.1 The defendant, the defendant's lawyer,	HEARING Cassock  Julie H. Gassock  halves , and the deputy prosecuting attorney were there present were:
	FINDINGS
There being no reason why judgment should not be pro 2.1 CURRENT OFFENSE(S): The defendant was for	ound guilty on Sept 26 '02 by plea of:
Count No.: Crime: VUCSA	- poss Cocaine
RCW 69.50. 401 (a)(U(i)	'Crime Code:
RCW 69.50.401(a)(U(i)  Date of Crime: may 14 2002	Incident No. 02-368236
Count No.: Crime:	
RCW	Crime Code:
Date of Crime:	Incident No.
Chimne	
Count No.: Crime:	Crime Code:
RCW	Incident No.
Date of Crime:	Modelle 110.
Count No.: Crime:	Circ Codo
RCW	Chine Code:
RCW	Incident No.
Additional current offenses are attached in Appe	endix A

Rev 07/00 -

ULLUIALI IL	RDICT or F	11.21.10(0).				•
(a) [ ] While (b) [ ] While	armed with	a firearm in cou	mt(s)	RCW 9.94A:3	10(3).	CW 9.94A.310(4).
(c) [ ] With	a sexual mot	ivation in count	(s)	ř	CW 9.94A.127.	··· > . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
.V A [ 1 (b).	U.C.S.A offe	nse committed:	in a protected zo	me in count(s)	RCW 9.94A.127	9.50.435.
(e) [ ] Vehic	ular homicid	le [ ]Violent tr	affic offense [	DUI [ ] Reck	less [ ]Disregard.	
(f) [ ] Vehic	cular homici	de by DUI with	prior c	onviction(s) for of	ffense(s) defined in	RCW 41.61.5055,
RCW	9.94A.310(7	).			ictim. RCW 9A.44.1	
				9.020 for count(s)		150.
					ause are count(s)	RCW
9.94A.400					``	
		•		•		
				,		
					d under different ca	use numbers used
in calculating	the offender:	score are (list of	ffense and cause	number):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
•				·	•	•
0.0	A THOMON	37 D 7	a*		c	
			tions constituting	criminal history	for purposes of calc	liating the
offender score			:_ D		• .	
	istory is attac	thed in Append	IX IS.	the effector com	/DCW/ 0 04 A 2600	5) a
				ommunity placem	(RCW 9.94A.360(	5) are:
[ ] One point	added for off	ense(s) commu	ied withe mider c	ommunity pracem	· Tor count(s)	
2.4 SENTEN	CING DATA	١:				
Sentencing	Offender	Seriousness	Standard	T	Total Standard	Maximum
Data	Score	Level	Range	Enhancement	Range	Term
Count	8	I	33-43 m		33-43 mo	550 \$10,00
Count			1.		93 /9 //-	1221/ 2/2/00
Count		,				
Count						
	l				<u> </u>	- <del>L</del>
[ ] Additional	current offer	ise sentencing d	lata is attached in	Appendix C.		*
, ,				• •		-
			•		•	
2.5 EXC	EPTIONAL	SENTENCE:	•			
		ling reasons exi	st which justify a	sentence above/b	elow the standard ra	inge for
[ ] Substantia	l and compel	_	-	**	elow the standard ra	nge for w are attached in
[ ] Substantia	l and compel	_	-	**		inge for w are attached in
[ ] Substantia	l and compel	_	-	sentence above/b ndings of Fact and a similar sentence.		nge for w are attached in
[ ] Substantia	l and compel	_	-	**		inge for w are attached in
[ ] Substantia	l and compel	_	-	**		inge for w are attached in
[ ] Substantia	l and compel	_	-	ndings of Fact and a similar sentence.		inge for w are attached in
[ ] Substantia	l and compel	_	not recommend a	ndings of Fact and a similar sentence.		inge for w are attached in
[ ] Substantia Count(s) Appendix D.	I and compel! The State [ GED that deforms	] did [ ] did	not recommend a	ndings of Fact and a similar sentence.		w are attached in

## IV. ORDER


IT IS ORDERED that the defendant serve the determinate sentence and abide by the other terms set forth below.

4.1	RESTITUTION AND VICTIM ASSESSMENT:  [ ] Defendant shall pay restitution to the Clerk of this Court as set forth in attached Appendix E.  [ ] Defendant shall not pay restitution because the Court finds that extraordinary circumstances exist, and the court, pursuant to RCW 9.94A.142(2), sets forth those circumstances in attached Appendix E.  [ ] Restitution to be determined at future restitution hearing on (Date)
4.2	OTHER FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS: Having considered the defendant's present and likely future financial resources, the Court concludes that the defendant has the present or likely future ability to pay the financial obligations imposed. The Court waives financial obligation(s) that are checked below because the defendant lacks the present and future ability to pay them. Defendant shall pay the following to the Clerk of this Court:
	(a) [ ] \$, Court costs; [ ] Court costs are waived; (RCW 9.94A.030, 10.01.160)
	(b) [ ] \$, Recoupment for attorney's fees to King County Public Defense Programs; [ ] Recoupment is waived (RCW 9.94A.030);
	(c) [ ] \$, Fine; [ ]\$1,000, Fine for VUCSA; [ ]\$2,000, Fine for subsequent VUCSA; [ ]VUCSA fine waived (RCW 69.50.430);
	(d) [ ] \$, King County Interlocal Drug Fund; [ ] Drug Fund payment is waived; (RCW 9.94A.030)
	(e) [ ] \$, State Crime Laboratory Fee; [ ] Laboratory fee waived (RCW 43.43.690);
• .	(f) [ ] \$, Incarceration costs; [ ] Incarceration costs waived (RCW 9.94A.145(2));
	(g) [ ] \$
4.3	PAYMENT SCHEDULE: Defendant's TOTAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATION is: \$ 500. The payments shall be made to the King County Superior Court Clerk according to the rules of the Clerk and the following terms: [] Not less than \$ per month; [] On a schedule established by the defendant's Community Corrections Officer. Financial obligations shall bear interest pursuant to RCW 10.82.090. The Defendant shall remain under the Court's jurisdiction and the supervision of the Department of Corrections for up to ten years from the date of sentence or release from confinement to assure payment of financial obligations.

L <i>A</i> be:	the Court finds the defendant eligible pursuant to RCW 9.94A.120(6)(a), as amended by CH 197, 1999 aWS, eff. 7-25-99; [recodified RCW 9.94A.660 eff. 7-1-01] that the defendant and the community will nefit from use of D.O.S.A.; waives imposition of sentence within the standard range and sentences the fendant as follows:
(a)	TOTAL CONFINEMENT, RCW 9.94A.120(6)(b): The defendant is sentenced to the following term(s) of commitment in he custody of the DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS to commence [ ] immediately [ ] not later than at P.M.
	months on Count No months on Count No
	months on Count No months on Count No
	months on Count No months on Count No
(b)	The above term(s) of confinement represent one half of the midpoint of the standard range.
(c)	The terms imposed herein shall be served concurrently.
	The terms imposed herein shall be served concurrently.  The term(s) imposed herein shall run concurrent/consecutive with cause No(s) 62/514/34
	The term(s) imposed herein shall run consecutively to any previously imposed commitment not referred to in this judgment.
(d)	The defendant shall receive credit for time served prior to sentencing if that confinement was solely under this cause. RCW 9.94A.120(17). The time shall be compiled by the JAIL unless specifically set by the court as follows:
(e)	While incarcerated in the Department of Corrections the defendant shall undergo a comprehensive substance abuse assessment and receive, within available resources, appropriate treatment services.
mic con defe	MMUNITY CUSTODY: The court further imposes months, the remainder(s) of the lipoint(s) of the standard range(s), as a term of community custody during which time the defendant shall uply with the instructions, rules and regulations promulgated by the Department for conduct of the endant during community custody; shall perform affirmative acts necessary to monitor compliance, shall y all laws and comply with the following mandatory statutory requirements:
(1) (2)	The defendant shall not own, use or possess any firearm or ammunition. RCW 9.94A.120(16).  The defendant shall not use illegal controlled substances and shall submit to urinalysis or other testing to
(3)	monitor compliance. RCW 9.94A.120.(6)(b)(ii), and (iii)  The defendant shall complete appropriate substance abuse treatment in a program approved by D.S.H.S.,  Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse. RCW 9.94A.120(6)(b)(i)
The	court further imposes the following non-mandatory conditions of Community Custody (if checked):
(4)	[X] The defendant shall not use any alcohol or controlled substances without prescription and shall undergo testing to monitor compliance.
	Devote time to a specific employment or training.  Remain within prescribed geographical boundaries and notify the court or the community corrections officer of any change in the offender's address or employment.
(7) (8) (9)	[X] Report as directed to a community corrections officer. [X] Pay all court ordered legal financial obligations. [ ] Perform community service work.

	(10) [ ] Stay out of designated areas as follows:
	(11) [ ] Other conditions as set forth in Appendix F
1.6	NON-COMPLIANCE RCW 9.94A.120(6)(c)(e): If the defendant fails to complete the Department's special drug offender sentencing alternative program or is administratively terminated from the program, he/she shall be reclassified by the Department to serve the balance of the unexpired term of sentence. If the defendant fails to comply with the conditions of supervision as defined by the Department, he/she shall be sanctioned. Sanctions may include reclassification by the Department to serve the balance of the unexpired term of sentence.
	For offenses committed after 7-1-2000 the court further imposes the following additional terms of Community Custody upon failure to complete or administrative termination from D.O.S.A. program: the entire period of earned early release or for any "crime against person" in section 2.1 herein 9 - 18 months; for any violation of 69.50/52 in section 2.1 herein 9 - 12 months whichever is longer. The defendant in this event shall comply with the conditions of Community Custody set forth in section 4.5 herein.
.7	[ ] BLOOD TESTING (Prostitution offense or drug offense associated with the use of hypodermic needles): Appendix G, covering blood testing and counseling, is attached and incorporated by reference into this Judgment and Sentence.
	[ ] OFF-LIMITS ORDER: The defendant, having been found to be a known drug trafficker, shall neither enter nor remain in the protected against drug trafficking area(s) as described in Appendix I during the term of community supervision. Appendix I is attached and incorporated by reference into this Judgment and Sentence.
9	[ ]NO CONTACT: For the maximum term ofyears, defendant shall have no contact with
ıte:	10.16.02  JUDGE Print Name: Profiber.
ese	nted by: Approved as to form:
	ty Prosecuting Attorney. WSBA# 31640  Attorney for Defendant  WSBA# W796  Print Name: SVIE# HANNE!

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGE POSSIBLE




RIGHT HAND FINGERPRINTS OF:	DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE: DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
JUDGE, KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT	ATTESTED BY: PAUL L. SHERFEY7SUPERIOR COURT CLERK BY: DEPUTY CLERK
CERTIFICATE	OFFENDER IDENTIFICATION
I,	S.I.D. NO.
CLERK OF THIS COURT, CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE IN THIS	DATE OF BIRTH:
ACTION ON RECORD IN MY OFFICE. DATED:	SEX:
DATED.	RACE:
CLERK	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BY: DEPUTY CLERK	

. PAGE -FINGERPRINTS 4-25-01

# SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

Plaintiff, No. 02697/88 SeA  JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE  (FELONY) — APPENDIX F. ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS  OF SENTENCE  Additional conditions of sentence are:  Defendant.  Defendant mst follow all roles of Newtonest (CCS)
Anthony bradley  (FELONY) — APPENDIX F,  ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS  OF SENTENCE  Defendant.  Additional conditions of sentence are:  Defendant must follow all when of  Dos A + Yeatment (ess.
Additional conditions of sentence are:  Defledant most follow all roles of DosA + treatment (ccs.
Defendant must follow all mer of DosA + treatment recs
Defendant must follow all mer of DosA + treatment recs
DosA + Yeatment (ecs.
DosA + Yeatment (ecs.
DosA + Yeatment (ccs.
are October 16, 2002 Almeth Constrole
Judge, King County Superior Court
Pro Jem.



VUCSA OVER 21

FILED

02 OCT 17 AM 9: 59

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK SEATTLE, WA.

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,	
Plaintiff,	No. 02/0741345eA
Vs.	) JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE ) FELONY
onthony bradley	j
) Defendant,	)
1 1	TEARING A
<b>3</b> 0	HEARING GATHOUND
It I The defendant the defendant's lawyer.	, and the deputy prosecuting attorney were
present at the sentencing hearing conducted today. Oth	ers present were.
п. 1	FINDINGS
,	
There being no reason why judgment should not be pro 2.1 CURRENT OFFENSE(S): The defendant was for	prounced, the court finds:
2.1 CURRENT OFFENSE(S): The detendant was to	and garry on soft so the soft so
Count No.: I - Crime: VOCSA - 1	poss. W in tent & deliv. / MAN. Crock Cocaine
RCW 69,50.401(A)(1)(i)	Crime Code:
Date of Crime: Qug 16 10 2	incident (w
Count No.: Crime:	
RCW	Crime Code:
Date of Crime:	Incident No.
Count No.: Crime:	
RCW	Crime Code:
Date of Crime:	Incident No.
~•	
Count No.: Crime: RCW	Crime Code:
Date of Crime:	Incident No.
•	•
[ ] Additional current offenses are attached in Apper	ndix A

Rev 07/00 -

1

OI EXCIPED VI						
(a) [ ] While	armed with	a firearm in co	unt(s)	RCW 9.94A:3	310(3).	
1: 1: 1:				irearm in count(s)	RC	CW 9.94A.310(4).
(c) [ ] With	a sexual mot	ivation in coun	t(s)		RCW 9.94A.127.  RCW 6 less [ ]Disregard.	
(d) [ ] A V.	U.C.S:A offe	ense committed	in a protected z	one in count(s)	RCW 6	9.50.435.
(c) [ Vehic	ular homici	de [ ]Violent tr	raffic offense	DUI [] Reck	less [ ]Disregard.	
(f) [ ] Vehi	cular homici	de by DUI with	prior	conviction(s) for o	ffense(s) defined in	RCW 41.61.5055,
RCW	7 9 94 A .3100	7).	·	•		
(g) [ ] Non-	parental kidi	napping or unla	wful imprisonm	ent with a minor v	ictim. RCW 9A.44.1	130.
(h) [ ] Dome	estic violence	offense as defu	ned in RCW 10.	99.020 for count(s)	)()(c)	RCW
(i) [ ] Curre	nt offenses er	acompassing to	e same crimina	n conduct m mis c	ause are count(s)	RCW
9.94A.40	J(1)(a).					
•			•			
12 OTTED	CIDDENT	CONVICTION	(S)- Other corre	nt convictions liste	ed under different ca	use numbers used
, Z.Z UINCK.	the offender	score are (list of	ffense and cause	number):		•
in calculating	me orienaci	score me (ass o			•	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································	•	
	·			•	•	•
23 CRIMIN	AL HISTOR	Y: Prior convic	tions constitutin	g criminal history	for purposes of calcu	ilating the
offender score	are (RCW 9.	94A.360):				
[ ] Criminal k	victory is attac	ched in Annend	lix B.			
f 1 Deign conv	ictions count	ed as one offens	e in determining	g the offender score	e (RCW 9.94A.360(	5) are:
					ant for countle)	•
One point	added for off	ense(s) commit	ted while under	community bracen	ent for counts)	
One point	added for off	ense(s) commit	ted while under	community placen	nent for count(s)	
One point			ted while under	community placen	ient for count(s)	
One point  2.4 SENTEN		A:		community placen		
One point		A: Seriousness	Standard	<del>T.</del>	Total Standard	Maximum
One point  2.4 SENTEN	CING DATA Offender Score	A:	Standard Range	Enhancement	Total Standard Range	Maximum Term
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing	CING DATA	A: Seriousness	Standard	<del>T.</del>	Total Standard	Maximum
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data	CING DATA Offender Score	A:   Seriousness   Level	Standard Range	<del>T.</del>	Total Standard Range	Maximum Term
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count	CING DATA Offender Score	A:   Seriousness   Level	Standard Range	<del>T.</del>	Total Standard Range	Maximum Term
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count	CING DATA Offender Score	A:   Seriousness   Level   VII	Standard Range	<del>T.</del>	Total Standard Range	Maximum Term
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count	CING DATA Offender Score	A:   Seriousness   Level   VII	Standard Range	Enhancement	Total Standard Range	Maximum Term
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count	CING DATA Offender Score	A:   Seriousness   Level   VII	Standard Range	Enhancement	Total Standard Range	Maximum Term
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count	CING DATA Offender Score	A:   Seriousness   Level   VII	Standard Range	Enhancement	Total Standard Range	Maximum Term
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count Count	CING DATA Offender Score 9	A:   Seriousness   Level   VIII   Insert sentencing description	Standard Range	Enhancement	Total Standard Range	Maximum Term
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count Count	CING DATA Offender Score 9 current offer	Seriousness Level VII  anse sentencing descriptions	Standard   Range   87-116     lata is attached in	Enhancement  Appendix C.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 2047, \$50,0
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count Count [ ] Additional	CING DATA Offender Score 9 current offer	Seriousness Level VII  anse sentencing descriptions	Standard   Range   87-116	Enhancement  Appendix C.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count [ ] Additional  2.5 EXC [ ] Substantia	CING DATA Offender Score 9 current offer EPTIONAL	Seriousness Level VII  assessmenting descriptions SENTENCE: ling reasons exist	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in	Enhancement  Appendix C.  a sentence above/bindings of Fact and	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count [ ] Additional  2.5 EXC [ ] Substantia	CING DATA Offender Score 9 current offer EPTIONAL	Seriousness Level VII  assessmenting descriptions SENTENCE: ling reasons exist	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in	Enhancement  Appendix C.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count [ ] Additional  2.5 EXC [ ] Substantia	CING DATA Offender Score 9 current offer EPTIONAL	Seriousness Level VII  assessmenting descriptions SENTENCE: ling reasons exist	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in	Enhancement  Appendix C.  a sentence above/bindings of Fact and	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count [ ] Additional  2.5 EXC [ ] Substantia	CING DATA Offender Score 9 current offer EPTIONAL	Seriousness Level VII  assessmenting descriptions SENTENCE: ling reasons exist	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in	Enhancement  Appendix C.  a sentence above/bindings of Fact and	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count [ ] Additional  2.5 EXC [ ] Substantia	CING DATA Offender Score 9 current offer EPTIONAL	Seriousness Level VII  assessmenting descriptions SENTENCE: ling reasons exist	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in st which justify: Fnot recommend	Enhancement  Appendix C.  a sentence above/bindings of Fact and a similar sentence.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count [ ] Additional  2.5 EXC [ ] Substantia	CING DATA Offender Score 9 current offer EPTIONAL	Seriousness Level VII  assessmenting descriptions SENTENCE: ling reasons exist	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in	Enhancement  Appendix C.  a sentence above/bindings of Fact and a similar sentence.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count Count Count Count  [ ] Additional  2.5 EXC [ ] Substantia Count(s) Appendix D.	CING DATA Offender Score 9 I current offer EPTIONAL I and compel	A:   Seriousness   Level   VIII     Discussion   Sentencing description     SENTENCE: ling reasons existed   did [ ] did	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in the standard in the	Enhancement  a Appendix C.  a sentence above/b indings of Fact and a similar sentence.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count Count Count Count I Additional 2.5 EXC I Substantia Count(s) Appendix D.	CING DATA Offender Score Q current offer EPTIONAL I and compel	Seriousness Level  VIII  Inse sentencing d  SENTENCE: Ing reasons exis  I did [ ] did	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in the standard in the	Enhancement  a Appendix C.  a sentence above/b indings of Fact and a similar sentence.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count Count Count Count  [ ] Additional  2.5 EXC [ ] Substantia Count(s) Appendix D.	CING DATA Offender Score Q current offer EPTIONAL I and compel	Seriousness Level  VIII  Inse sentencing d  SENTENCE: Ing reasons exis  I did [ ] did	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in the standard in the	Enhancement  a Appendix C.  a sentence above/b indings of Fact and a similar sentence.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00
2.4 SENTEN Sentencing Data Count Count Count Count Count Count Count I Additional 2.5 EXC I Substantia Count(s) Appendix D.	CING DATA Offender Score Q current offer EPTIONAL I and compel	Seriousness Level  VIII  Inse sentencing d  SENTENCE: Ing reasons exis  I did [ ] did	Standard Range 87-116 lata is attached in the standard in the	Enhancement  a Appendix C.  a sentence above/b indings of Fact and a similar sentence.	Total Standard Range 87-116 ms.	Maximum Term 20 y/, \$50,00

## IV. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the defendant serve the determinate sentence and abide by the other terms set forth below.

4.1	RESTITUTION AND VICTIM ASSESSMENT:  [ ] Defendant shall pay restitution to the Clerk of this Court as set forth in attached Appendix E.  [ ] Defendant shall not pay restitution because the Court finds that extraordinary circumstances exist, and the court, pursuant to RCW 9.94A.142(2), sets forth those circumstances in attached Appendix E.  [ ] Restitution to be determined at future restitution hearing on (Date)
	[ ] Restitution is not ordered.  Defendant shall pay Victim Penalty Assessment pursuant to RCW 7.68.035 in the amount of \$500.
	OTHER FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS: Having considered the defendant's present and likely future financial resources, the Court concludes that the defendant has the present or likely future ability to pay the financial obligations imposed. The Court waives financial obligation(s) that are checked below because the defendant lacks the present and future ability to pay them. Defendant shall pay the following to the Clerk of this Court:
	(a) [ ] \$, Court costs; [ ] Court costs are waived; (RCW 9.94A.030, 10.01.160)  (b) [ ] \$, Recoupment for attorney's fees to King County Public Defense Programs; [ ] Recoupment is waived (RCW 9.94A.030);
	(c) [ ] 5 , Fine; [ ]\$1,000, Fine for VUCSA; [ ]\$2,000, Fine for subsequent VUCSA; [ ]VUCSA fine waived (RCW 69.50.430);
	(d) [ ] \$\frac{\psi}{(\text{RCW 9.94A.030})}\$, King County Interlocal Drug Fund; [ ] Drug Fund payment is waived;
	(e) [ ] S, State Crime Laboratory Fee; [ ] Laboratory fee waived (RCW 43.43.690);
-	(f) [ ] \$, Incarceration costs; [ ] Incarceration costs waived (RCW 9.94A.145(2));
	(g) [ ] S , Other costs for:
	PAYMENT SCHEDULE: Defendant's TOTAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATION is: \$

The Court finds the defendant eligible pursuant to RCW 9.94A.120(6)(a), as amended by CH 197, 1999 LAWS, eff. 7-25-99; [recodified RCW 9.94A.660 eff. 7-1-01] that the defendant and the community will benefit from use of D.O.S.A.; waives imposition of sentence within the standard range and sentences the defendant as follows:				
(a)	TOTAL CONFINEMENT, RCW 9.94A.120(6)(b): The defendant is sentenced to the following term(s) of commitment in he custody of the DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS to commence [ ] immediately [ ] not later thanatP.M.			
	50.75 months on Count No months on Count No			
	months on Count No months on Count No			
	months on Count No months on Count No			
(b)	The above term(s) of confinement represent one half of the midpoint of the standard range.			
(c)	The terms imposed herein shall be served concurrently.			
	The term(s) imposed herein shall run concurrent for scaling with cause No(s) 52 (347188 Se			
	The term(s) imposed herein shall run consecutively to any previously imposed commitment not referred to in this judgment.			
(d) The defendant shall receive credit for time served prior to sentencing if that confinement was solely under this cause. RCW 9.94A.120(17). The time shall be compiled by the JAIL unless specifically set by the court as follows:				
	While incarcerated in the Department of Corrections the defendant shall undergo a comprehensive substance abuse assessment and receive, within available resources, appropriate treatment services.			
mid con	COMMUNITY CUSTODY: The court further imposes 50.75 months, the remainder(s) of the midpoint(s) of the standard range(s), as a term of community custody during which time the defendant shall comply with the instructions, rules and regulations promulgated by the Department for conduct of the defendant during community custody; shall perform affirmative acts necessary to monitor compliance, shall obey all laws and comply with the following mandatory statutory requirements:			
(1) The defendant shall not own, use or possess any firearm or ammunition. RCW 9.94A.120(16). (2) The defendant shall not use illegal controlled substances and shall submit to urinalysis or other testing to				
monitor compliance. RCW 9.94A.120.(6)(b)(ii), and (iii)  The defendant shall complete appropriate substance abuse treatment in a program approved by D.S.H Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse. RCW 9.94A.120(6)(b)(i)				
The court further imposes the following non-mandatory conditions of Community Custody (if checked):				
(4)	[X] The defendant shall not use any alcohol or controlled substances without prescription and shall undergo testing to monitor compliance.			
(5)	1 Devote time to a specific employment or training.			
(6)	[ ] Remain within prescribed geographical boundaries and notify the court or the community corrections officer of any change in the offender's address or employment.			
	(b) (c) (d) (e) CO mid con defe obe (1) (2) (3) The (4)			

	(10) [ ] Stay out of designated areas as follows:
	(11) [ ] Other conditions as set forth in Appendix F
4.6	NON-COMPLIANCE RCW 9.94A.120(6)(c)(e): If the defendant fails to complete the Department's special drug offender sentencing alternative program or is administratively terminated from the program, he/she shall be reclassified by the Department to serve the balance of the unexpired term of sentence. If the defendant fails to comply with the conditions of supervision as defined by the Department, he/she shall be sanctioned. Sanctions may include reclassification by the Department to serve the balance of the unexpired term of sentence.
	For offenses committed after 7-1-2000 the court further imposes the following additional terms of Community Custody upon failure to complete or administrative termination from D.O.S.A. program: the entire period of earned early release or for any "crime against person" in section 2.1 herein 9 - 18 months; for any violation of 69.50/52 in section 2.1 herein 9 - 12 months whichever is longer. The defendant in this event shall comply with the conditions of Community Custody set forth in section 4.5 herein.
4.7	[ ]BLOOD TESTING (Prostitution offense or drug offense associated with the use of hypodermic needles): Appendix G, covering blood testing and counseling, is attached and incorporated by reference into this Judgment and Sentence.
4.8	[ ] OFF-LIMITS ORDER: The defendant, having been found to be a known drug trafficker, shall neither enter nor remain in the protected against drug trafficking area(s) as described in Appendix I during the term of community supervision. Appendix I is attached and incorporated by reference into this Judgment and Sentence.
4.9	[ ]NO CONTACT: For the maximum term ofyears, defendant shall have no contact with
Date	10.16.02  JUDGE Print Name: Rollin
•	
Debi	Approved as to form:  Approved as to form:  Approved as to form:  Attorney Prosecuting Attorney, WSBA# 3/660  Name: Jean Miller  Approved as to form:  Attorney for Defendant, WSBA# Turay ( Print Name: TWU & Authority (  Print Name: TWU & Authority (  Approved as to form:

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGE POSSIBLE

RIGHT HAND FINGERPRINTS OF:  DATED:	DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE: DEPUTY CLERK  DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS  (Chay Communication Court Clerk  BY:  DEPUTY CLERK
CERTIFICATE	OFFENDER IDENTIFICATION
I,	S.I.D. NO.  DATE OF BIRTH:
ACTION ON RECORD IN MY OFFICE.	SEX:
DATED:	RACE:
CLERK	
BY:	

PAGE - FINGERPRINTS 4-25-01

# SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,	) }
Plaintiff,	NO. 62/0741345eA
anthony bodley	JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE (FELONY) — APPENDIX F, ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF SENTENCE
Defendant.	) )
	,
Additional conditions of sentence are:	
	A
Defendant ma	stokes Mes of DoSA program
+ plow treat	t ment
Date: October 16, 2002	Aluneth Cerustoole
Date: CASPER 16, 2902	Judge, King County Superior Court
	Rollm.

APPENDIX F



1	-H D	
2	FILED	•
	02 MAY 17 PM 4: 04	
3 4	SUPERIOR COURT CLERK SEATTLE, WA	
5	2:14 11:01	
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF WA	SHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY
8	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,	
9	Plaintiff,	No. 02-C-04718-8 SEA 02-C-04719-6 SEA
10	<b>v.</b>	
11	ANTHONY L. BRADLEY and NORANDEDA MARIE WILLIAMS,	) INFORMATION
12	and each of them,	) ) Service ANT ISSUID (10.02
13	Defendants.	WARRANT ISSUED WARRE COUNTY STIOUS
14		
15 16	name and by the authority of ANTHONY L. BRADLEY and NORANDEL of the crime of Violation of the	ng Attorney for King County in the the State of Washington, do accuse DA MARIE WILLIAMS, and each of them, E Uniform Controlled Substances Act,
17	committed as follows:	
18	williams and each of them, in	ONY L. BRADLEY and NORANDEDA MARIE King County, Washington, on or about
19 20	May 14, 2002, unlawfully and fe manufacture or deliver cocai narcotic drug, and did know it	loniously did possess with intent to ne, a controlled substance and a was a controlled substance;
		(a)(1)(i), and against the peace and
21	dignity of the State of Washin	gton.
23		NORM MALENG
24	. •	Prosecuting Attorney
25		By:
26		Alison M. Bogar, WSBA #30380 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
27		
	THEODY III ON 1	Norm Maleng Prosecuting Attorney W 554 King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104-2312 (206) 296-9000

| INFORMATION- 1

~ 4 1	JSE	$\mathbf{L}$
1 . W	1×-	1733 3



### CERTIFICATION FOR DETERMINATION OF PROBABLE CAUSE - NARCOTICS

That Police Officer R. Smith #5937 of the Seattle Police Department believes that there is probable cause that Noraneda M. Williams committed the crime(s) of Violation of the Uniformed Controlled Substances Act on May 14, 2002 at 2144 within the City of Seattle, County of King, State of Washington by possessing with intent to deliver/manufacture crack cocaine, a controlled substance.

This belief is predicated on the following facts and circumstances:

At about 2139, 5-14-2002 the West Precinct Anti-Crime Team (*WACT*) conducted a narcotics "SEE-POP" operation in the Belltown neighborhood. The area of 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave between Virginia St and Bell St. has been the source of numerous Narcotic Activity Reports (*NARS*), as well as a steady flow of 911 calls reporting rampant street drug activity. I was operating as an observation officer, utilizing 7x50 binoculars to enhance my vision. I have over eight years of police experience with the SPD. I have worked over 85 narcotics "buy-bust" operations while assigned to the *WACT*, as an undercover officer, arrest officer, and observation officer. I am very familiar with the dynamics of a street drug deal.

I saw *Bradley* walking NB in the 2100 block of 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave, in the company of *Williams*. *Bradley* stopped near "Zoe's" restaurant on the west sidewalk. *Bradley* was contacted by an unidentified black male approximately 50 YOA. I saw *Bradley* take his left hand out of his left outside jacket pocket and hand something to the unidentified black male with his left hand. *Bradley* then received money from the unidentified male in return. The unidentified black male walked away SB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave. *Williams* was standing about five feet to the north during this transaction. *Bradley* and *Williams* met back up and walked away NB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave. This transaction was consistent with what I know a street drug deal to look like.

Bradley and Williams walked NB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave to "Wally's Market." Bradley and Williams ducked into the doorway and made a hand to hand exchange. Both emerged back onto the sidewalk in front of "Wally's Market." I saw Bradley looking at some items in his cupped left hand. Bradley was contacted by an unidentified black male approximately 30 YOA. I saw Bradley hand the unidentified male something from his left hand. The unidentified male handed Bradley money, and walked away SB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave, and then WB on Blanchard St. Williams was standing about five feet north of Bradley during the transaction. This transaction was consistent with what I know a street drug deal to look like.

Bradley and Williams met back up and walked SB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave into the 2100 block. I provided WACT officers with a complete description of Bradley and Williams, and my probable cause to believe Bradley and Williams were working together in concert to sell drugs. WACT officers contacted both Bradley and Williams in the 2100 block of 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave. As WACT officers approached, Bradley made an abrupt move to his mouth with his right hand, and subsequently chewed and swallowed suspected crack cocaine. Officer Fox recovered small pieces of suspected crack cocaine from Bradley's left outer jacket pocket, which were later field-tested (positive), and \$519.00 in U.S. currency from his person. Officer

ORIGINAL



## CERTIFICATION FOR DETERMINATION OF PROBABLE CAUSE NARCOTICS

INCIDENT NUMBER
02-208013

UNIT FILE NUMBER

Setterberg contacted *Williams*, and recovered *19.8 grams* of suspected *crack cocaine* and *\$85.00* in U.S. currency. Officer Setterberg conducted a field-test of a small portion of the suspected *crack cocaine* recovered from *Williams* (positive). *Williams* was also found to be wanted on an outstanding *KCSO Felony Warrant* for *VUCSA*. The arrests took place in *SODA Zone #1* and within a *Drug Free Zone* (Route #208 1<sup>st</sup> Ave/Blanchard St).

Under penalt	y of perjury unde	er the laws of the State	of Washingto	on, I certify that th	e foregoing
is true and co	rrect to best of m	y knowledge and belief	f. Signed and	d dated by me this	1900
day of	May	, 2002, at Seattle, Wa	ishington.	$\sim M/$	
	- 1		1	X-1	
	·	<del></del>		-{-\	

ORIGINAL

CAUSE NO. 02-C-04718-8 SEA CAUSE NO. 02-C-04719-6 SEA

### PROSECUTING ATTORNEY CASE SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR BAIL AND/OR CONDITIONS OF RELEASE

The State incorporates by reference the Certification for Determination of Probable Cause signed by the Seattle Police regarding incident number 02-208013.

#### REQUEST FOR BAIL

#### BRADLEY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Initially, the Court set Bradley's bail in the amount of \$5,000. However, the State requests that bail be increased to the amount of \$20,000 based on the nature of the crime charged and the following criminal history: Violation of the Uniform Controlled Substances Act - Delivery (VUCSA) (91-1-01608-1, 97-1-00606-2); VUCSA - Possession (93-1-04345-3, 94-1-07524-8, 97-1-00617-8); Attempted VUCSA (01-1-10608-90); and Attempt to Elude (93-1-04407-7). At the time of filing, Court Services was unable to verify the defendant's residence and employment history. Since 1993, this Court has issued 48 warrants for the defendant.

#### WILLIAMS

The State requests that Williams' bail be set in the amount of \$20,000 based on the nature of the crime charged and the following criminal history: VUCSA - Possession (92-1-05837-1, 00-1-10102-0); Forgery and Possessing Stolen Property (96-1-01616-7); Attempted VUCSA (96-1-05367-4); Theft 2° (98-1-06295-5); Theft 3° (1998, 1995, 1993); Prostitution (1995); and False Reporting (1995).

Alison M. Bogar, WSBA #30380


Prosecuting Attorney Case Summary and Request for Bail and/or Conditions of Release - 1 Norm Maleng Prosecuting Attorney W 554 King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104-2312 (206) 296-9000

23

25

26

27



FILED

02 SEP 27 PM 2: 48

KING COUNTY
SUFERIOR COURT CLERK
SEATTLE, WA.

	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY
5	STATE OF WASHINGTON, ORIGINAL
	Plaintiff, ) No. 02 C D4718 8 5094 .
	Vs.  ANTHONY L. CARDLEY  Defendant,  Defendant,  )
1	. My true name is AN THAWY C. RNADLUY.
2	9/1/20
3	I went through the 12 grade and 1 Ym Houter Consider.
	(a) I have the right to representation by a lawyer and that if I cannot afford to pay for a
la	awyer, one will be provided at no expense to me. My lawyer's name is Julia A. GAIHamo
	(b) I am charged with the crime(s) of NUSSESSIAN OF COCHING
·	The elements of this crime(s) are $\frac{IN \times IN6}{3}$ CO WH ON $\frac{5}{3}$ $\frac{31}{2}$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$
,	KNOWINGLY AND UNLAWBRITY YOSS 4555 COCHMIS, A
	CONTROLLED 1665AL SUCEMINE.
F	ORM REV 7/12/00
11	TATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY

(Felony) - 2

Maximum Term

and Fine

years

years

years

offense" as defined in that statute, I may be found a Persistent Offender. If I am found to be a Persistent Offender, the Court must impose the mandatory sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of early release of any kind, such as parole or community custody. RCW 9.94A.120(4). The law does not allow any reduction of this sentence.

- (b) The standard sentence range is based on the crime charged and my criminal history. Criminal history includes prior convictions, whether in this state, in federal court, or elsewhere. If my current offense was prior to 7/1/97: criminal history always includes juvenile convictions for sex offenses and also for Class A felonies that were committed when I was 15 years of age or older; may include convictions in Juvenile Court for felonies or serious traffic offenses that were committed when I was 15 years of age or older; and juvenile convictions, except those for sex offenses and Class A felonies, count only if I was less than 23 years old when I committed the crime to which I am now pleading guilty. If my current offense was a after 6/30/97: criminal history includes all prior adult and juvenile convictions or adjudications.
- (c) The prosecuting attorney's statement of my criminal history is attached to this agreement. Unless I have attached a different statement, I agree that the prosecuting attorney's statement is correct and complete. If I have attached my own statement, I assert that it is correct and complete. If I am convicted of any additional crimes between now and the time I am sentenced, I am obligated to tell the sentencing judge about those convictions.
- (d) If I am convicted of any new crimes before sentencing, or if I was on community placement at the time of the offense to which I am now pleading guilty, or if any additional criminal history is discovered, both the standard sentence range and the prosecuting attorney's recommendations may increase. Even so, my plea of guilty to this charge is binding on me. I FORM REV 7/12/00

STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

cannot change my mind if additional criminal history is discovered even though the standard sentencing range and the prosecuting attorney's recommendation increase.

If the current offense to which I am pleading guilty is a most serious offense as defined by RCW 9.94A.030(23),(27), and additional criminal history is discovered, not only do the conditions of the prior paragraph apply, but also if my discovered criminal history contains two prior convictions, whether in this state, in federal court, or elsewhere, of most serious offense crimes, I may be found to be a Persistent Offender. If I am found to be a Persistent Offender, the Court must impose the mandatory sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of early release of any kind, such as parole or community custody. RCW 9.94A.120(4).

Even so, my plea of guilty to this charge may be binding on me. I cannot change my plea if additional criminal history is discovered, even though it will result in the mandatory sentence that the law does not allow to be reduced.

- (e) In addition to sentencing me to confinement for the standard range, the judge will order me to pay \$500 as a victim's compensation fund assessment. If this crime resulted in injury to any person or damages to or loss of property, the judge will order me to make restitution, unless extraordinary circumstances exist which make restitution inappropriate. The judge may also order that I pay a fine, court costs, incarceration, lab and attorney fees. Furthermore, the judge may place me on community supervision, community placement or community custody, impose restrictions on my activities, rehabilitative programs, treatment requirements, or other conditions, and order me to perform community service.
  - (f) The prosecuting attorney will make the following recommendation to the judge:

STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 4

1	must impose a mandatory sentence of life without the possibility of parole. RCW 9.94A.120(4). [If
2	not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge
3	[Bit
4	(i) The crime charged in Count includes a firearm/deadly weapon sentence
5	enhancement of months.
6	This additional confinement time is mandatory and must be served consecutively to any
7	other sentence I have already received or will receive in this or any other cause. [If not applicable,
8	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge]
9	(j) The sentences imposed on counts, except for any weapons enhancement,
10	will run concurrently unless the judge finds substantial and compelling reason to do otherwise or
11	unless there is a special weapons finding. [If not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and
12	initialed by the defendant and the judge [ ]]
13	(k) In addition to confinement, the judge will sentence me to a period of community
14	supervision, community placement or community custody.
15	For crimes committed prior to July 1, 2000, the judge will sentence me to: (A) community
16	supervision for a period of up to one year; or (B) to community placement or community custody
17	for a period up to three years or up to the period of earned release awarded pursuant RCW
18	9.94A.150(1) and (2), whichever is longer. [If not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and
19	initialed by the defendant and the judge Afrill
20	For crimes committed on or after July 1, 2000, the judge will sentence me to the community
21	custody range which is from months to months or up to the period of earned
22	release awarded pursuant to RCW 9.94A.150(1) and (2), whichever is longer, unless the judge finds
	FORM REV 7/12/00
	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 6

1	substantial and compelling reasons to do otherwise. During the period of community custody I will
2	be under the supervision of the Department of Corrections, and I will have restrictions and
3	requirements placed upon me. My failure to comply with these conditions will result in the
4	Department of Corrections transferring me to a more restrictive confinement status or imposing
5	other sanctions. [If not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant
6	and the judge]
7	(1) If this offense is a sex offense committed after 6/5/96 and I am either sentenced to the
8	custody of the Department of Corrections or if I am sentenced under the special sexual offender
9	sentence alternative, the court will, in addition to the confinement, impose not less than 3 years of
10	community custody which will commence upon my release from jail or prison. Failure to comply
11	with community custody may result in my return to confinement. In addition, the court may extend
12	the period of community custody in the interest of public safety for a period up to the maximum
13	term which is [If not applicable,
14	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge] BU
15	(m) The judge may sentence me as a first-time offender instead of imposing a sentence
16	within the standard range if I qualify under RCW 9.94A.030. This sentence may include as much as
17	90 days of confinement plus all of the conditions described in paragraph (e). In addition, I may be
18	sentenced up to two years of community supervision if the crime was committed prior to July 1,
19	2000, or two years of community custody if the crime was committed on or after July 1, 2000. The
20	judge also may require me to undergo treatment, to devote time to a specific occupation, and to
21	pursue a prescribed course of study or occupational training. [If not applicable, this paragraph
22	should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge FORM REV 7/12/00
	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 7
- 1	ı

(n)	This plea of guilty will result in revocation of my privilege to drive under RCW
46.20.285	1)-(3), (5)-(7). If I have a driver's license, I must now surrender it to the judge. [If not
applicable.	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge
,	B

- (o) I understand that RCW 46.20.285(4) requires that my driver's license be revoked if the judge finds I used a motor vehicle in the commission of this felony.
- (p) If this crime involves a sexual offense, prostitution, or a drug offense associated with hypodermic needles, I will be required to undergo testing for the human immunodeficiency (AIDS) virus. [If not applicable', this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge ...]
- (q) If I am not a citizen of the United States, a plea of guilty to an offense punishable as a crime under state law is grounds for deportation, exclusion from admission to the United States, or denial of naturalization pursuant to the laws of the United States.
- (r) If this crime involves a sex offense or a violent offense, I will be required to provide a sample of my blood for purposes of DNA identification analysis. [If not applicable', this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge .]
- (s) Because this some involves a sex offense, I will be required to register with the sheriff of the county of the state of Washington where I reside. I must register immediately upon being sentenced unless I am in custody, in which case I must register within 24 hours of my release.

If I leave this state following my sentencing or release from custody but later move back to Washington, I must register within 30 days after moving to this state or within 24 hours after doing so if I am under the jurisdiction of this state's Department of Corrections.

FORM REV 7/12/00

STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 8

1	11. The judge has asked me to state briefly in my own words what I did that makes me
2	guilty of this (these) crime(s). This is my statement:
3	IN KING CO WYTHINDOW W 5/31/02.
4	IN KING CO WARHINGON W 2/31/02.  TE KNOWING IT MOS COCHMA, POSSUSSUS
5	AR COCHINE MAN DOGINAMINATIONAL I
6	KNOW CERMAN WAS A CONTUINE
7	ILLEVAL SUNSTANCE.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	12. My lawyer has explained to me, and we have fully discussed, all of the above
15	paragraphs. I understand them all. I have been given a copy of this "Statement of Defendant on
16	Plea of Guilty." I have no further questions to ask the judge.
17	DEFENDANT A
18	
19	I have read and discussed this statement with the defendant and believe that the
20	defendant is competent and fully understands the statement.
21	understands the statement.
22	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY  DEFENDANT'S LAWYER
	FORM REV 7/12/00 #2076
	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 10
- }	To a series 1

1	The foregoing statement was signed by the defendant in open court in the presence of the defendant's lawyer and the undersigned judge. The defendant asserted that [check appropriate box]:
2	describants lawyer and the undersigned judge. The describant asserted that teneous appropriate boxy.
2	[] (a) The defendant had previously read; or [] (b) The defendant's lawyer had previously read to him or her; or
3	[ ] (b) The defendant's lawyer had previously read to then of her, of
4	defendant understood it in full.
5	I find the defendant's plea of guilty to be knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily made. The
	defendant understands the charges and the consequences of the plea. There is a factual basis for the
6	plea. The defendant is guilty as charged.
7	Dated this 26 day of Sept., 2002.
8	Santara Varior
	JUDGE PRO TEM
9	I am fluent in the language and I have translated this entire document for
10	1. 1. S Leat from English into that language. I partify under papalty of parium under the laws of
11	the defendant from English into that language. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of
12	the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.
12	Dated this day of, 20
13	
14	TRANSLATOR INTERPRETER
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	7073 ( PEN 7/12/00
	FORM REV 7/12/00
	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 11

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	OURERIOR COURT OF MACUIPACTON FOR MEDIC CONTINUE
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON, )
9	Plaintiff, No.O)_(-OMY-8 See
10	vs. ) AMENDED INFORMATION
11	Arriva Brancer
12	Defendant. )
13	I, Norm Maleng, Prosecuting Attorney for King County in the name and by the authority of
14	the State of Washington, do accuse Arthury Season of the crime of Violation of the Uniform Controlled Substances, committed as follows:
15	That the defendant Achtery Sasoccy, in King County, Washington,
16	on or about during a time intervening 57% , did unlawfully and feloniously possess , a controlled substance and a narcotic drug;
17	Contrary to RCW 69.50.401(d), and against the peace and dignity of the State of
18	Washington.
19	NORM MALENG
20	Prosecuting Attorney
21	By:
22	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney WSBA #91002
23-	) (CX
	Norm Malana Procession Attornov

AMENDED INFORMATION - 1 vucsa - Revised 5-7-01

Norm Maleng, Prosecuting Attorney W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Scattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-9000 FAX (206) 296-0955

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

#### 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

Prosecuting Attorney Case Summary and Request for Bail and/or Conditions of Release - 1

CAUSE NO. 02-C-04718-8 SEA CAUSE NO. 02-C-04719-6 SEA

### ATTORNEY CASE SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR BAIL AND/OR CONDITIONS OF RELEASE

The State incorporates by reference the Certification for Determination of Probable Cause signed by the Seattle Police regarding incident number 02-208013.

#### REQUEST FOR BAIL

Initially, the Court set Bradley's bail in the amount of \$5,000. However, the State requests that bail be increased to the amount of \$20,000 based on the nature of the crime charged and the following criminal history: Violation of the Uniform Controlled Substances Act - Delivery (VUCSA) (91-1-01608-1, 97-1-00606-2); VUCSA - Possession (93-1-04345-3, 94-1-07524-8, 97-1-00617-8); Attempted VUCSA (01-1-10608-90); and Attempt to Elude (93-1-04407-7). At the time of filing, Court Services was unable to verify the defendant's residence and employment history. Since 1993, this Court has issued 48 warrants for the defendant.

#### WILLIAMS

BRADLEY

The State requests that Williams' bail be set in the amount of \$20,000 based on the nature of the crime charged and the following criminal history: VUCSA - Possession (92-1-05837-1, 00-1-10102-0); Forgery and Possessing Stolen Property (96-1-01616-7); Attempted VUCSA (96-1-05367-4); Theft 2° (98-1-06295-5); Theft 3° (1998, 1995, 1993); Prostitution (1995); and False Reporting (1995).

#### Alison M. Bogar, WSBA #30380

Norm Maleng Prosecuting Attorney W 554 King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104-2312 (206) 296-9000

CAUSE NO.



### CERTIFICATION FOR DETERMINATION OF PROBABLE CAUSE - NARCOTICS

INCIDENT NUMBER
02-208013
UNIT FILE NUMBER

That Police Officer R. Smith #5937 of the Seattle Police Department believes that there is probable cause that Anthony L. Bradley committed the crime(s) of Violation of the Uniformed Controlled Substances Act on May 14, 2002 at 2144 within the City of Seattle, County of King, State of Washington by possessing with intent to deliver/manufacture crack cocaine, a controlled substance.

This belief is predicated on the following facts and circumstances:

At about 2139, 5-14-2002 the West Precinct Anti-Crime Team (*WACT*) conducted a narcotics "SEE-POP" operation in the Belltown neighborhood. The area of 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave between Virginia St and Bell St. has been the source of numerous Narcotic Activity Reports (*NARS*), as well as a steady flow of 911 calls reporting rampant street drug activity. I was operating as an observation officer, utilizing 7x50 binoculars to enhance my vision. I have over eight years of police experience with the SPD. I have worked over 85 narcotics "buy-bust" operations while assigned to the *WACT*, as an undercover officer, arrest officer, and observation officer. I am very familiar with the dynamics of a street drug deal.

I saw *Bradley* walking NB in the 2100 block of 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave, in the company of *Williams*. *Bradley* stopped near "Zoe's" restaurant on the west sidewalk. *Bradley* was contacted by an unidentified black male approximately 50 YOA. I saw *Bradley* take his left hand out of his left outside jacket pocket and hand something to the unidentified black male with his left hand. *Bradley* then received money from the unidentified male in return. The unidentified black male walked away SB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave. *Williams* was standing about five feet to the north during this transaction. *Bradley* and *Williams* met back up and walked away NB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave. This transaction was consistent with what I know a street drug deal to look like.

Bradley and Williams walked NB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave to "Wally's Market." Bradley and Williams ducked into the doorway and made a hand to hand exchange. Both emerged back onto the sidewalk in front of "Wally's Market." I saw Bradley looking at some items in his cupped left hand. Bradley was contacted by an unidentified black male approximately 30 YOA. I saw Bradley hand the unidentified male something from his left hand. The unidentified male handed Bradley money, and walked away SB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave, and then WB on Blanchard St. Williams was standing about five feet north of Bradley during the transaction. This transaction was consistent with what I know a street drug deal to look like.

Bradley and Williams met back up and walked SB on 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave into the 2100 block. I provided WACT officers with a complete description of Bradley and Williams, and my probable cause to believe Bradley and Williams were working together in concert to sell drugs. WACT officers contacted both Bradley and Williams in the 2100 block of 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave. As WACT officers approached, Bradley made an abrupt move to his mouth with his right hand, and subsequently chewed and swallowed suspected crack cocaine. Officer Fox recovered small pieces of suspected crack cocaine from Bradley's left outer jacket pocket, which were later field-tested (positive), and \$519.00 in U.S. currency from his person. Officer

ORIGINAL

PAGE 1 OF 2



### CERTIFICATION FOR DETERMINATION OF PROBABLE CAUSE NARCOTICS

INCIDENT NUMBER
02-208013
UNIT FILE NUMBER

Setterberg contacted *Williams*, and recovered *19.8 grams* of suspected *crack cocaine* and *\$85.00* in U.S. currency. Officer Setterberg conducted a field-test of a small portion of the suspected *crack cocaine* recovered from *Williams* (positive). *Williams* was also found to be wanted on an outstanding *KCSO Felony Warrant* for *VUCSA*. The arrests took place in *SODA Zone #1* and within a *Drug Free Zone* (Route #208 1<sup>st</sup> Ave/Blanchard St).

Inder penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, I certify that the foregoing true and correct to best of my knowledge and belief. Signed and dated by me this	рg
true and correct to best of my knowledge and belief. Signed and dated by me this 14 m	7
y of, 2002, at Seattle, Washington.	

**ORIGINAL** 

PLEA AGREEMENT		-11
Date of Crime: 5.14.02	Date: 5/3-(5)	E/26/00
Defendant: Bradley In Hung—Cause No: 0)	L- (-04)18-1	SEA/KNT
The State of Washington and the defendant enter into this PLEA AGREEMENT agreement may be withdrawn at any time prior to entry of the guilty plea. T	which is accepted only by a	guilty plea. This as follows:
On Plea To: As charged in Count(s)	of the original 11, ame	ended information.
□ With Special Finding(s): □ deadly weapon - firearm, RCW 9.94A.310(3); 9.94A.310(4); □ sexual motivation, RCW 9.94A.127; □ protected zone, RCW ; for count(s):		
1. □ DISMISS: Upon disposition of Count(s) the State moves to dismiss Count(s):		
2. SPREAL FACTS OF HIGHER/MORE SERIOUS AND/OR ADDITION 9.94A.370, the parties have stipulated that the court, in sentencing, may consider as set forth in the certification(s) of probable cause and prosecutor's as set forth in	as real and material facts info	
3. ☐ RESTITUTION: Pursuant to RCW 9.94A.142, the defendant agrees to pa ☐ in full to the victim(s) on charged counts. ☐ as set forth in		
A EXOTHER: APRICADE TO THE SACRAS	my ly termore	-(
33 months igned, conc.	urrant to	
02-	6-07413-4	SZA
SENTENCE RECOMMENDATION:  a. V The defendant agrees to the foregoing Plea Agreement and that the attache (Appendix A) and the attached Prosecutor's Understanding of Defendant's Crit complete and that the defendant was represented by counsel or waived counsel makes the sentencing recommendation set forth in the State's sentence recommendation.	ed sentencing guidelines scori minal History (Appendix B) a I at the time of prior convictio	ing form(s) re accurate and
b.  The defendant disputes the Prosecutor's Statement of the Defendant's Crim with regard to a sentencing recommendation and may make a sentencing recommendation.	nmendation for the full penal	ty allowed by law.
Maximum on Count is not more than K	years and/or \$ <b>24</b> 0	fine,
Maximum on Count is not more than	years and/or \$	fine.
☐ Mandatory Minimum Term(s) pursuant to RCW 9.94A.120(4) only:		
☐ Mandatory weapon sentence enhancement for Count(s) additional term(s) must be served consecutively to any other term and without a	is months any earned early release.	each. This/these
☐ Mandatory driver's license revocation RCW 46.20.285; 69.50.420		
Mandatory revocation of right to possess a firearm and/or ammunition for any fe	lony conviction. RCW 9.41.0	)47 <b>.</b>
The State's recommendation will increase in severity if additional criminal conviction of the control of the co		ndant commits any
Onthry Brailly	<u> </u>	
Manual Amus III	Deputy Prosecuting Atto	or Coul PRO Torio

0/14/02

### GENERAL SCORING FORM Drug Offenses

Use this form only for the following offenses: Controlled Substance Homicide; Create, Deliver, or Possess a Counterfeit Controlled Substance - Methamphetamine; Create, Deliver, or Possess a Counterfeit Controlled Substance - Schedule I or Il Narcotic; Create, Deliver, or Possess a Counterfeit Controlled Substance - Schedule III-V Nonnarcolic; Deliver or Possess with Intent to Deliver Methamphetamine; Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver Amphetamine; Manufacture, Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver Amphetamine; Manufacture, Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver Amphetamine, Manufacture, Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver Amphetamine, Methamphetamine, or Flunitrazepam from Schedule I-II (scept Heroin or Cocaine), or Flunitrazepam from Schedule IV; Manufacture, Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver a Narcotic from Schedule III-V or Nonnarcotic from Schedule I-V (except Marijuana, Amphetamine, Methamphetamine, or Flunitrazepam); Maintaining a Dwelling for Controlled Substances; Manufacture of Methamphetamine, or Flunitrazepam; Maintaining a Dwelling for Controlled Substances; Manufacture of Methamphetamine, and Deliver Heroin, Methamphetamine, a Narcotics from Schedule I or II, or Finitrazepam from Schedule IV to Someone Under 18; Over 18 and Deliver Narcotics from Schedule III-V or a Nonnarcotic, except Flunitrazepam, or Methamphetamine from Schedule IV to Someone under 18 and 3 years Junior, Possession of Ephedrine, Pseudoephedrine or Anhydrous Ammonia with Intent to manufacture Methamphetamine; Selling for Profit (Controlled or Counterfeit) any Controlled Substance.

OFFENDER'S NAME	OFFENDER'S DOB	STATE ID#	
Bradley, Anthony L	09/16/1974	14830884	
JUDGE	CAUSE#	FBI ID#	
	02C047188SEA	57122TA8	

DOC# 707050

In the case of multiple prior convictions for offenses committed before July 1, 1986, for purposes of computing the offender score, count all adult convictions served concurrently as one offense and juvenile convictions entered on the same date as one offense (RCW 9.94A,360).

ADULT HISTORY  Enter number of felony drug convictions* (as defined by RCW 9.94A.030(16))
Enter number of other felony convictions
JUVENILE HISTORY  Enter number of felony drug dispositions** (as defined by RCW 9.94A.030(16))
Enter number of other serious violent and violent felony dispositions
Enter number of other felony dispositions $x \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{x}$
OTHER CURRENT OFFENSES: (Those offenses not encompassing the same criminal conduct)
Enter number of other felony drug convictions* (as defined by RCW 9.94A.030 (16))x 3 =x =
Enter number of other felony convicitions.
STATUS AT TIME OF CURRENT OFFENSES:
If on community placement at time of current offense, add I point
Total the last column to get the Offender Score (Round down to the nearest whole number)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
() ou STANDARD RANGE CALCULATION* 48 33 43
VUCSA: PVVI - Cocaine THLI (A) TO (STA) MONTHS
CURRENT OFFENSE SERIOUSNESS OFFENDER LOW HIGH BEING SCORED LEVEL SCORE STANDARD SENTENCE RANGE

If the court orders a deadly weapons enhancement, use the applicable enhancement sheets on pages 111-14 or 111-15 to calculate the enhanced sentence.

Add additional time to the standard range for some drug offenses committed in a correctional facility or in a protected zone. See the individual
offense reference sheets for specifics.

If Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative (DOSA) eligible: see DOSA form for alternative sentence on page III-16.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Supreme Court clairfied that solicitations to commit violations of the Uniform Controlled Substances Act (RCW 69.50) are not "drug offenses" and are not subject to the multiple "scoring" requirements for drug offenses, under RCW 9.94A.360, or to the community placement requirement for drug offenses, under RCW 9.94A.120(9)(a). See <a href="In-re-Hookins">In-re-Hookins</a>, 137 Wn. 2d 897 (1999).

	Defendant:	Anthony L	. Bradley	FBI Num:	57122TA8
	•			StateID Num:	14830884
	None Kr	own. Recor felony conv	nmendation and standard range assumes ictions		
	Criminal	history not	known and not received at this time		
٠	Cause	'A	Adult Felonies		
	931016081		King Superior		
8(B)	931010081 Offense 2/26/93	Sentence 4/9/93	VUCSA: Deliver Cocaine	90 Days	
	931043453	WA :	King Superior	•	
50	Offense 3/10/93	Sentence 11/19/93	VUCSA: Possess Cocaine	5 Months	28 Days
·	931044077	WA I	King Superior		
26	Offense 8/14/93	Sentence 11/19/93 -	Attempting to Elude Pursuing Police Vehicle	5 Months 2	28 Days
	941075248	WA I	King Superior		
2(9)	Offense 6/30/94	Sentence 3/17/95	VUCSA: Possess Cocaine	13 Months	
	971006178.	WA I	King Superior		
(1)	Offense 10/7/94	<b>Sentence</b> 9/5/97	VUCSA: Possess Cocaine	16 Months	
((3	971057392	WA I	King Superior		
7(A)	Offense 4/23/97	Sentence 12/19/97	VUCSA: Deliver Cocaine	6 Years 5 l	Months
	•		Juvenile Felonies		
	Cause	. `	ency		•
	898007551 Offense	WA I	King Superior		•
	11/11/88	4/17/89	VUCSA: Deliver	10 Days	
	898024405	WA I	King Superior		
(P)	Offense 2/24/89	Sentence 7/18/89	VUCSA: Possess Cocaine	2 Days	
					*

Prepared By:

King County Prosecuting Attorney/Department of Corrections Criminal History Partnership - 10/13/99

Community Corrections Assistant

	Detendant:	Anthony L	Bradley	FBI NUIII 37 122 1A0
				StateID Num: 14830884
	None Kn	own. Recor felony conv	nmendation and standard range a ictions	ssumes
	Criminal	history not	known and not received at this tir	ne .
			Juvenile Feloni	ies
	Cause	A	gency	
	898025525		King Superior	
)	5/13/89	Sentence 7/18/89	VUCSA: Deliver	15 Days
	908001794		King Superior	
-	Offense 12/27/89	Sentence 2/15/90	VUCSA: Possess Cocaine	13 Weeks
	918034941	WA :	King Superior	
	Offense 6/8/91	Sentence 7/10/91	VUCSA: Possess with Intent	80 Weeks
			Misdemeanors	s
	Cause		gency	
>	DNDER 15	Y.C.A.	را به ر	•
	Offense	Sentence	•	•
	1997 - Obstr		OD 1; Obstruction;	
	1994 - NVOI			
				NVOL; Hit and Run Attended; Negligent
	Driving; Sus	p OL 2; DV	LS 2;	
	Juvenile	ession of M	arijuana LT 40g; Criminal Trespa	ess 2: Obstruction:
			3. Obstruction.	

Prepared By:

### STATE'S SENTENCE RECOMMENDATION (NON-SEX OFFENSE; COMMITTED on or after 7/1/2000; SENTENCE OVER ONE YEAR)

Date of Crime: 5/19/02		Date:	Elister	8/12/10
Defendant: Arabany Unand	Cause ?	10.: <u>02-(-04)</u>	(8-8	SEAKNT
State recommends that the defendant be sentenced to		ent in the Department of	of Corrections as fol	lows:
Count I South Market Ma	onths (Achier)	Count IV		months
Count IIm	onths	Count V		months
Count III	nonths	Count VI		months
Terms on each count to run concurrently/consecutive. Terms to be served concurrently/consecutively with: Terms to be consecutive to any other term(s) not spe	67-	(-0413 -4	4 Sen	
☐ WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT - RCW 9.94A enhancement time: months for Ct, good time and served consecutive to any other term months.	months for Ct.	months for Ct.	; which is/are	mandatory, served without
□ WORK ETHIC CAMP - RCW 9.94A.137: De current offense is not VUCSA or VUCSA solicitatio not recommended. If not, why not:	n for crimes after 7/25/99	; no current or prior vi		
DRUG OFFENDER SENTENCE ALTERNATORIES: 2) no weapon enhancement; 3) if VUCSA Recommendation form instead of this form.) Defende	"small quantity" of drugs	, 4) not deportable. (If I	DOSA is recommen	
CONTACT: For the maximum term, defended to the presumptive sentence.  □ NO CONTACT: For the maximum term, defended to the presumptive sentence.  MONETARY PAYMENTS: Defendant make the first to the presumptive sentence.  MONETARY PAYMENTS: Defendant make the first to the presumptive sentence.  □ Restitution as set forth in the "Plea Agrange X Court costs; mandatory \$500 Victim Perform County Local Drug Fund \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	range are set forth on the dant have no contact with following monetary paym 9.94A.145.  The second of the second	ents under the supervision of th	ted counsel.  n costs of \$	nt of Corrections for up to
COMMUNITY CUSTODY (RCW 9.94A.120(11): serve a term of community custody for the applicable	e period set forth below, t	he period of carned earl	y release, or whiche	ver is longer.
□ Sex Offense 36 - 48 m □ Serious Violent Offense 24 - 48 m □ Violent Offense 18 - 36 m	onths Violation	gainst Persons of Ch. 69.50 or .52	9 – 18 months 9 – 12 months	Check box for largest applicable range
MANDATORY CONSEQUENCES: HIV blood to associated with needle use. DNA testing (RCW 43.4 Revocation (RCW 46.20.285; RCW 69.50.420). Re	esting (RCW 70.24.340) 3.754) for any sex offens	e or violent offense as o	defined in RCW 9.9	ense, or drug offense 4A.030. Driver's License
	-	Approved by Deputy Pros	y: Secuting Attorney	WSBA No.
KING COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY			1135	

KING COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY Revised 7/2000

APPENDIX E

### FILED

02 SEP 27 PM 2: 48

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK SLATTLE, WA.

4

1

2

3

5

6	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY
7	STATE OF WASHINGTON, ORIGINAL
8	Plaintiff, ) No. O2-C- 074/3-4564.
9 10 11	Vs.  ) STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON  ANTHWY L, BNA DLW,  Defendant,  )  Defendant,  )
12	1. My true name is ANTHOWY L. BRADLLY.
13	1. My true name is ANTHOWY L. BRADLUSY  2. My age is 28 . Date of Birth 9/16/79
15	3. I went through the 12th gradeaul I In House Commy ear wor
16	4. I HAVE BEEN INFORMED AND FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT:
7	(a) I have the right to representation by a lawyer and that if I cannot afford to pay for a
8	lawyer, one will be provided at no expense to me. My lawyer's name is Four A. Cartens.
9	(b) I am charged with the crime(s) of PUSSIAN WITH IMMONT (TO VIM VIM)
20	The elements of this crime(s) are /N KNG CO, WA ON 8/16/02 I
21	Knumpy pussesson mit intone to person continue, A
22	MB A EASTILLUS SUSTANCE.
	FORM REV 7/12/00 (CINEDA)
	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 1

# 5. I HAVE BEEN INFORMED AND FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT I HAVE THE FOLLOWING IMPORTANT RIGHTS, AND I GIVE THEM ALL UP BY PLEADING GUILTY:

- (a) The right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury in the county where the crime is alleged to have been committed;
- (b) The right to remain silent before and during trial, and the right to refuse to testify against myself;
  - (c) The right at trial to testify and to hear and question the witnesses who testify against me;
- (d) The right at trial to have witnesses testify for me. These witnesses can be made to appear at no expense to me;
- (e) The right to be presumed innocent until the charge is proven beyond a reasonable doubt or I enter a plea of guilty;
  - (f) The right to appeal a determination of guilt after a trial.

### 6. IN CONSIDERING THE CONSEQUENCES OF MY GUILTY PLEA(S), I UNDERSTAND THAT:

(a) The crime(s) with which I am charged carries a sentence(s) of:

· II_				
	Count	Standard Range	Enhancement That Will Be	Maximum Term
• III	No		Added to Standard Range	and Fine
,		87-116 months	NONG	\$ 50,000 years
		.:		years
,				years \$

RCW 9.94A.030(23), (27), provide that for a third conviction for a "most serious offense" as defined in that statute or for a second conviction for a "most serious offense" which is also a "sex FORM REV 7/12/00

STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 2

11

10

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 3

offense" as defined in that statute, I may be found a Persistent Offender. If I am found to be a Persistent Offender, the Court must impose the mandatory sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of early release of any kind, such as parole or community custody. RCW 9.94A.120(4). The law does not allow any reduction of this sentence.

- (b) The standard sentence range is based on the crime charged and my criminal history. Criminal history includes prior convictions, whether in this state, in federal court, or elsewhere. If my current offense was prior to 7/1/97: criminal history always includes juvenile convictions for sex offenses and also for Class A felonies that were committed when I was 15 years of age or older; may include convictions in Juvenile Court for felonies or serious traffic offenses that were committed when I was 15 years of age or older; and juvenile convictions, except those for sex offenses and Class A felonies, count only if I was less than 23 years old when I committed the crime to which I am now pleading guilty. If my current offense was a after 6/30/97: criminal history includes all prior adult and juvenile convictions or adjudications.
- (c) The prosecuting attorney's statement of my criminal history is attached to this agreement. Unless I have attached a different statement, I agree that the prosecuting attorney's statement is correct and complete. If I have attached my own statement, I assert that it is correct and complete. If I am convicted of any additional crimes between now and the time I am sentenced, I am obligated to tell the sentencing judge about those convictions.
- (d) If I am convicted of any new crimes before sentencing, or if I was on community placement at the time of the offense to which I am now pleading guilty, or if any additional criminal history is discovered, both the standard sentence range and the prosecuting attorney's recommendations may increase. Even so, my plea of guilty to this charge is binding on me. I FORM REV 7/12/00

cannot change my mind if additional criminal history is discovered even though the standard sentencing range and the prosecuting attorney's recommendation increase.

If the current offense to which I am pleading guilty is a most serious offense as defined by RCW 9.94Å.030(23),(27), and additional criminal history is discovered, not only do the conditions of the prior paragraph apply, but also if my discovered criminal history contains two prior convictions, whether in this state, in federal court, or elsewhere, of most serious offense crimes, I may be found to be a Persistent Offender. If I am found to be a Persistent Offender, the Court must impose the mandatory sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of early release of any kind, such as parole or community custody. RCW 9.94A.120(4).

Even so, my plea of guilty to this charge may be binding on me. I cannot change my plea if additional criminal history is discovered, even though it will result in the mandatory sentence that the law does not allow to be reduced.

- (e) In addition to sentencing me to confinement for the standard range, the judge will order me to pay \$500 as a victim's compensation fund assessment. If this crime resulted in injury to any person or damages to or loss of property, the judge will order me to make restitution, unless extraordinary circumstances exist which make restitution inappropriate. The judge may also order that I pay a fine, court costs, incarceration, lab and attorney fees. Furthermore, the judge may place me on community supervision, community placement or community custody, impose restrictions on my activities, rehabilitative programs, treatment requirements, or other conditions, and order me to perform community service.
  - (f) The prosecuting attorney will make the following recommendation to the judge:

FORM REV 7/12/00 VPA, DING FINGEN & COSTS, LOCAL DING FINGE (NCAN CAM ATIM) COSTS, DUFF CAN NUTURE OF STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY
(Felony)-4

1	
2	
3	See attached Plea Agreement and State's Sentence Recommendation.
4	(g) The judge does not have to follow anyone's recommendation as to sentence. The judge
5	must impose a sentence within the standard range unless the judge finds substantial and compelling
6	reasons not to do so. If the judge goes outside the standard range, either I or the State can appeal
7	that sentence. If the sentence is within the standard range, no one can appeal the sentence.
8	(h) The crime ofhas a mandatory minimum sentence
9	of at least years of total confinement. The law does not allow any reduction of this
10	sentence. [If not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the
11	judge J.J.
12	The crime of is a most serious offense as defined by
13	RCW 9.94A.030(23), and if the judge determines that I have at least two prior convictions on
14	separate occasions whether in this state, in federal court, or elsewhere, of most serious crimes, I may
15	be found to be a Persistent Offender. If I am found to be a Persistent Offender, the Court must
16	impose the mandatory sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of early release of any
17	kind, such as parole or community custody. RCW 9.94A.120(4). [If not applicable, this paragraph
18	should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge [1] BX
19	The crime of is also a "most serious offense" and a
20	"sex offense" as defined in RCW 9.94A.030(23) and (27), and if the judge determines that I have
21	one prior conviction whether in this state, in federal court or elsewhere of a most serious sex offense
22	as defined in that statute, I may also be found to be a Persistent Offender in which case the judge FORM REV 7/12/00
	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 5

1	must impose a mandatory sentence of life without the possibility of parole. RCW 9.94A.120(4). [If
2	not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge
3	]
4	(i) The crime charged in Count includes a firearm/deadly weapon sentence
5	enhancement of months.
6	This additional confinement time is mandatory and must be served consecutively to any
7	other sentence I have already received or will receive in this or any other cause. [If not applicable,
8	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge
9	(j) The sentences imposed on counts, except for any weapons enhancement,
10	will run concurrently unless the judge finds substantial and compelling reason to do otherwise or
11	unless there is a special weapons finding. [If not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and
12	initialed by the defendant and the judge 1117]
13	(k) In addition to confinement, the judge will sentence me to a period of community
14	supervision, community placement or community custody.
15	For crimes committed prior to July 1, 2000, the judge will sentence me to: (A) community
16	supervision for a period of up to one year; or (B) to community placement or community custody
17	for a period up to three years or up to the period of earned release awarded pursuant RCW
18	9.94A.150(1) and (2), whichever is longer. [If not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and
19	initialed by the defendant and the judge
20	For crimes committed on or after July 1, 2000, the judge will sentence me to the community
21	custody range which is from months to months or up to the period of earned
22	release awarded pursuant to RCW 9.94A.150(1) and (2), whichever is longer, unless the judge finds FORM REV 7/12/00
	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY (Felony) - 6
	·

1	substantial and compelling reasons to do otherwise. During the period of community custody I will
2	be under the supervision of the Department of Corrections, and I will have restrictions and
3	requirements placed upon me. My failure to comply with these conditions will result in the
4	Department of Corrections transferring me to a more restrictive confinement status or imposing
5	other sanctions. [If not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant
6	and the judge]
7	(i) If this offense is a sex offense committed after 6/5/96 and I am either sentenced to the
8	custody of the Department of Corrections or if I am sentenced under the special sexual offender
9	sentence alternative, the court will, in addition to the confinement, impose not less than 3 years of
10	community custody which will commence upon my release from jail or prison. Failure to comply
11	with community custody may result in my return to confinement. In addition, the court may extend
12	the period of community custody in the interest of public safety for a period up to the maximum
13	term which is [If not applicable,
13 14	term which is [If not applicable, this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge]
ŀ	ADX.
14	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge
14 15	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge .] BH  (m) The judge may sentence me as a first-time offender instead of imposing a sentence
14 15 16	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge (m) The judge may sentence me as a first-time offender instead of imposing a sentence within the standard range if I qualify under RCW 9.94A.030. This sentence may include as much as
14 15 16 17	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge (m) The judge may sentence me as a first-time offender instead of imposing a sentence within the standard range if I qualify under RCW 9.94A.030. This sentence may include as much as 90 days of confinement plus all of the conditions described in paragraph (e). In addition, I may be
14 15 16 17	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge (m) The judge may sentence me as a first-time offender instead of imposing a sentence within the standard range if I qualify under RCW 9.94A.030. This sentence may include as much as 90 days of confinement plus all of the conditions described in paragraph (e). In addition, I may be sentenced up to two years of community supervision if the crime was committed prior to July 1,
14 15 16 17 18	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge (m) The judge may sentence me as a first-time offender instead of imposing a sentence within the standard range if I qualify under RCW 9.94A.030. This sentence may include as much as 90 days of confinement plus all of the conditions described in paragraph (e). In addition, I may be sentenced up to two years of community supervision if the crime was committed prior to July 1, 2000, or two years of community custody if the crime was committed on or after July 1, 2000. The
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this paragraph should be stricken and initialed by the defendant and the judge (m) The judge may sentence me as a first-time offender instead of imposing a sentence within the standard range if I qualify under RCW 9.94A.030. This sentence may include as much as 90 days of confinement plus all of the conditions described in paragraph (e). In addition, I may be sentenced up to two years of community supervision if the crime was committed prior to July I, 2000, or two years of community custody if the crime was committed on or after July 1, 2000. The judge also may require me to undergo treatment, to devote time to a specific occupation, and to

(Felony) - 8

1	11. The judge has asked me to state briefly in my own words what I did that makes me
2	guilty of this (these) crime(s). This is my statement:
3	IN KING CO WA ON 8/16/OR  IN KING CO WA ON 8
4	TENENTOSSESSES COUNTY
5	The was a commerce the constance
6	N-V OVII - V
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	12. My lawyer has explained to me, and we have fully discussed, all of the above
15	paragraphs. I understand them all. I have been given a copy of this "Statement of Defendant on
16	Plea of Guilty." I have no further questions to ask the judge.
17	DEFENDANT
18	
19	I have read and discussed this statement with the defendant and believe that the
20	defendant is competent and fully understands the statement.
21	71001 Quirie a. Quistand
22	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY  FORM REV 7/12/00  DEFENDANT'S LAWYER  # 20276
	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT ON PLEA OF GUILTY
	(Felony) - 10

The foregoing state defendant's lawyer	ement was signed and the undersign	ned judge. The de	fendant asserted t	hat [check appropi	iate box]:	
[] (a) The defendant had previously read; or						
[] (b) The defendant's lawyer had previously read to him or her; or						
defendant u	inderstood it in fi	all.	,			
I find the defendan	it's plea of guilty	to be knowingly, in	ntelligently and vo	oluntarily made. T	he	
			es of the pica. Th	icro is a factual bac	13 101 1,10	
Dated this _	$\frac{26}{6}$ day of $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$	<u>ept.</u> , 20 <u>02</u> .				
			Bart	lawa Harma	<u> </u>	
			JUDGE	, PR	O TEM	
I am fluent	in the	language a	nd I have translat	ed this entire docu	ment for	
the defendant from	English into that	t language. I certify	y under penalty o	f perjury under the	laws of	
the State of Washir	ngton that the for	egoing is true and c	correct.			
Dated this _	day of	, 20				
		• •			<del></del> :	
TRANSLATOR			INTERPRE	TER		
			INTERPRE	TER	<del></del>	
			INTERPRE	TER		
			INTERPRE	TER		
			INTERPRE	TER		
			INTERPRE	TER		
			INTERPRE	TER		
			INTERPRE	TER		
			INTERPRE	TER		
			INTERPRE	TER		
TRANSLATOR  FORM REV 7/12/0	00	ON PLEA OF GUIL		TER		
	[] (a) The defend [] (b) The defend [] (c) An interpredefendant understandefendant unders	[] (a) The defendant had previous [] (b) The defendant's lawyer had [] (c) An interpreter had previously defendant understood it in fill I find the defendant's plea of guilty defendant understands the charges a plea. The defendant is guilty as charged as the defendant is guilty as charged this day of the defendant from English into that the State of Washington that the for	defendant's lawyer and the undersigned judge. The de  [] (a) The defendant had previously read; or  [] (b) The defendant's lawyer had previously read to the defendant understood it in full.  I find the defendant's plea of guilty to be knowingly, in defendant understands the charges and the consequence plea. The defendant is guilty as charged.  Dated this 26 day of Sept. , 2002.  I am fluent in the language at the defendant from English into that language. I certificate the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and one of the defendant is guilty as charged.	defendant's lawyer and the undersigned judge. The defendant asserted to [] (a) The defendant had previously read; or [] (b) The defendant's lawyer had previously read to him or her; or [] (c) An interpreter had previously read to the defendant the entire state defendant understood it in full.  I find the defendant's plea of guilty to be knowingly, intelligently and vote defendant understands the charges and the consequences of the plea. The plea. The defendant is guilty as charged.  Dated this 26 day of 56ft., 2002.  I am fluent in the language and I have translated the pleas are placed as a series of the pleas. I am fluent in the language and I have translated the pleas are placed as a series of the pleas. I am fluent in the language and I have translated the pleas are placed as a series of the pleas are pleased as a series of the pleased and pleased as a series of the	[] (b) The defendant's lawyer had previously read to him or her; or [] (c) An interpreter had previously read to the defendant the entire statement above and defendant understood it in full.  I find the defendant's plea of guilty to be knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily made. The defendant understands the charges and the consequences of the plea. There is a factual bas plea. The defendant is guilty as charged.  Dated this 26 day of 564. , 2002.  I am fluent in the language and I have translated this entire documents the defendant from English into that language. I certify under penalty of perjury under the the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.	

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHI	NGTON FOR KING COUNTY
8	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,	
9	Plaintiff, ) No	0. 02-C-07413-4 SEA 02-C-07414-2 SEA
10	ANTHONY LAMOUNT BRADLEY, and )	
11	JOYCE MARIE HAYES ) IN and each of them,	FORMATION
12		
13	Defendants. )	
14		
15	I, Norm Maleng, Prosecuting aname and by the authority of the	Attorney for King County in the State of Washington, do accuse
16 17	ANTHONY LAMOUNT BRADLEY and JOYCE Method the crime of Violation of the Uni	MARIE HAYES, and each of them, of
18		LAMOUNT BRADLEY and JOYCE MARIE
19		oniously did possess with intent
20	to manufacture or deliver Cocaine narcotic drug, and did know it was	
21	Contrary to RCW 69.50.401(a) (dignity of the State of Washington	1)(i), and against the peace and
22		
23		MALENG ecuting Attorney
24		country recorney
25		R. Holt, WSBA #28274
26		ty Prosecuting Attorney
27		Norm Maleng Prosecuting Attorney W 554 King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104-2312

Seattle, Washing (206) 296-9000

### 10

11

12

### 13

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

CAUSE NO. 02-C-07413-4 SEA CAUSE NO. 02-C-07414-2 SEA

## PROSECUTING ATTORNEY CASE SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR BAIL AND/OR CONDITIONS OF RELEASE

The State incorporates by reference the Certification for Determination of Probable Cause for Seattle Police Department incident number 02-368236, signed by Officer R. Smith.

#### REQUEST FOR BAIL

Bail at First Appearance was set for defendant Bradley in the amount of \$25,000. Given Bradley's criminal and warrant history, as well as the fact that this offense occurred while he was released on bail for a pending VUCSA Possession with Intent charge (King County cause number 02-C-04718-8 SEA), the State requests that bail be increased to \$50,000. Defendant Bradley has adult felony convictions for VUCSA Delivery (two convictions, 1993 and VUCSA Possession (three convictions, 1993-1995) 1997), Bradley has juvenile felony Attempting to Elude (1993). convictions for VUCSA Delivery (two convictions, 1988-1989), VUCSA Possession with Intent (1991) and VUCSA Possession Bradley was convicted of Attempted VUCSA convictions, 1989). earlier this year, in April, 2002. Additionally, he has two Obstructing convictions and multiple driving offenses. to Court Services, Bradley has been booked into the King County Jail 33 times since 1993 and has accrued 48 warrants on those bookings.

Bail was set at First Appearance for defendant Hayes in the amount of \$3,000. Based upon the large quantity of narcotics involved and the nature of the facts as outlined in the Certification for Determination of Probable of Probable Cause, the State requests that bail be increased to \$10,000.

Amy R. Holt, WSBA #28274

Prosecuting Attorney Case Summary and Request for Bail and/or Conditions of Release - 1 Norm Maleng Prosecuting Attorney W 554 King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104-2312 (206) 296-9000



controlled substance.

## CERTIFICATION FOR DETERMINATION OF PROBABLE CAUSE - NARCOTICS

INCIDENT NUMBER
02-368236
UNIT FILE NUMBER

That Police Officer R. Smith #5937 of the Seattle Police Department believes that there is probable cause that Anthony L. Bradley committed the crime(s) of Violation of the Uniformed Controlled Substances Act on August 16, 2002 at 2034 within the City of Seattle, County of King, State of Washington by possessing with intent to deliver/manufacture crack cocaine, a

This belief is predicated on the following facts and circumstances:

The West Precinct Anti-Crime Team (*WACT*) was assigned to serve a narcotics search warrant at 2408 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave #108. The warrant was issued by King County Superior Court Judge Michael Fox on 8-15-2002 at 1042, with a 5-day expiration. The warrant described the premise to be searched, as well as a black male identified as "Tone," described as 6'1" 215 pounds, and a black female identified as "Marla," 5'6" and 180 pounds.

At about 2034 the *WACT* made entry into unit #108, and encountered *Bradley* in the kitchen and *Hayes* in the living room. *Bradley* was found to be 5'11" and 190 pounds, fitting the description of "Tone." *Hayes* identified herself as the resident of the premise. Several pieces of dominion and control were located to substantiate *Hayes*' address as 2408 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave #108.

Officer Z. Dornay recovered **48 grams** of suspected **flake cocaine** (field-test positive) in the kitchen, as well as a total of **46 grams** of suspected **crack cocaine** (field-test positive) in the same location.

33 grams of the total of the 46 grams of suspected crack cocaine was packaged in a plastic baggie, and Officer Dornay saw Bradley throw the baggie to the ground as he entered the kitchen to contact Bradley.

Officer Dornay recovered the remaining 13 grams of the total of 46 grams of suspected crack cocaine in the kitchen sink, packaged in plastic.

Officer Dornay also recovered two scales of weight and measure, and two pieces of glassware coated with suspected *cocaine* residue (field-test positive) in the kitchen.

Officer Dornay recovered \$101.00 in U.S. currency from the kitchen sink.

Officer Long recovered \$600.00 in U.S. currency from Bradley's left sock.

I found a piece of glassware on a living room table containing .5 grams of suspected crack cocaine. I also recovered several pieces of mail inside the premise belonging to Bradley, but listing a Kent, WA address.

Officer Setterberg recovered .7 grams of suspected crack cocaine (field-test positive) from Hayes, and \$38.00 in U.S. currency.

The arrests took place in SODA Zone #1 and within a Drug Free Zone (Route #599 1st Ave and Wall St).

Under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, I certify that the foregoing is true and correct to best of my knowledge and belief. Signed and dated by me this 1744 day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2002, at Seattle, Washington.

DRIGINAL

	PLEA	AGREEMENT	2/2-1	•
Date of Crime: 8016.02			Date: 8/20(02	
Defendant: Bradley, A	uthony	Cause No: 🔼	-C-07413-4	SEAKNT
The State of Washington and the defen greement may be withdrawn at any	time prior to entry o	f the guilty plea. T	he PLEA AGREEMENT is as	follows:
On Plea To: As charged in Count(s)	<u> </u>		of the original amer	nded information.
☐ With Special Finding(s): ☐ deadly 0.94A.310(4); ☐ sexual motivation, R	CW 9.94A.127: 🔲 🛭	rotected zone, RCW	☐ deadly weapon other than fi 69.50.435; ☐ domestic viole	nce. 🗆 other
. DISMISS: Upon disposition of Che State moves to dismiss Count(s):	Count(s)			
SPEAL FACTS OF HIGHER/M 94A.370, the parties have stipulated to ✓ as set forth in the certificat ☐ as set forth in	hat the court, in senter	ncing, may consider	as real and material facts info	with RCW mation as follows:
RESTITUTION: Pursuant to Ro ☐ in full to the victim(s) on c ☐ as set forth in	CW 9.94A.142, the de harged counts.	efendant agrees to pa	y restitution as follows:	
OTHER: ACCES	850m	hima	Debusta to	Acc
Schools	87 ront	ns contucto	1 +0	
	0	2-6-04.	718-8 SEA (pc	- E-Rosos)
a. The defendant agrees to the fore (Appendix A) and the attached Prose complete and that the defendant was makes the sentencing recommendation. The defendant disputes the Prosection of the Pr	egoing Plea Agreement ecutor's Understanding represented by couns on set forth in the State ecutor's Statement of	g of Defendant's Cri el or waived counse te's sentence recomm the Defendant's Crir	minal History (Appendix B) ar l at the time of prior conviction nendation. ninal History, and the State ma	e accurate and n(s). The State akes no agreement
with regard to a sentencing recomme	endation and may mak	ce a sentencing reco	mmendation for the full penalt	y allowed by law.
Maximum on Count			years and/or \$ <u>50,0</u>	
Maximum on Count	is not more tha	an	years and/or \$	fine.
I Mandatory Minimum Term(s) purst	ant to RCW 9.94A.12	20(4) only:		
Mandatory weapon sentence enhand additional term(s) must be served con	ement for Count(s) nsecutively to any other	er term and without	_ is months early release.	each. This/these
☐ Mandatory driver's license revocation	on RCW 46.20.285; 6	9.50.420		
Mandatory revocation of right to posse	ss a firearm and/or an	nmunition for any fe	lony conviction. RCW 9.41.0	47.
The State's recommendation will increnew charged or uncharged crimes, fail	ase in severity if addit s to appear for senten	ional criminal convi cing or violates the	ctions are found or if the defen conditions of his release.	dant commits any
Ontry Brail		1	)(3	i L
Defendant Williams	lad	A	Deputy Prosecuting Atto	HHOT
Attorney for Defendant	- 11		Judge, King County Superio	or Court

KING COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY Revised 7/2000

## GENERAL SCORING FORM Drug Offenses

Use this form only for the following offenses: Controlled Substance Homicide; Create, Deliver, or Possess a Counterfeit Controlled Substance - Methamphetamine; Create, Deliver, or Possess a Counterfeit Controlled Substance - Schedule I or II Narcotic; Create, Deliver, or Possess a Counterfeit Controlled Substance - Schedule III-V Narcotic or Schedule I-V Nonnarcotic; Deliver or Possess with Intent to Deliver Methamphetamine; Deliver, or A material in Lieu of a Controlled Substance; Involving a Minor in Drug Dealing; Manufacture, Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver Amphetamine; Manufacture, Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver Heroin or Cocaine; Manufacture, Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver Amphetamine; Manufacture, Deliver, or Possess with Intent to Deliver a Narcotic from Schedule III-V or Nonnarcotic from Schedule IV-V (except Heroin or Cocaine), or Fluntinzapam from Marijuana, Amphetamine, Methamphetamine, or Fluntinzapam); Maintaining a Dwelling for Controlled Substances; Manufacture of Methamphetamine; Methamphetamine, or Fluntinzapam); Maintaining a Dwelling for Controlled Substances; Manufacture of Methamphetamine; Over 18 and Deliver Narcotics from Schedule III-V or Nonnarcotics from Schedule IV to Someone Under 18; Over 18 and Deliver Narcotics from Schedule III-V or a Nonnarcotic, except Fluntinzapam, or Methamphetamine from Schedule IV to Someone under 18 and 3 years Junior; Possession of Ephedrine, Pseudoephedrine or Anhydrous Ammonia with Intent to manufacture Methamphetamine; Selling for Profit (Controlled or Counterfeit) any Controlled Substance.

OFFENDER'S NAME Bradley, Anthony L	<b>OFFENDER'S DOB</b> 09/16/1974	STATE ID# 14830884
JUDGE	OAUGE#	FBI ID# 57122TA8

DOC# 707050

In the case of multiple prior convictions for offenses committed before July 1, 1986, for purposes of computing the offender score, count all adult convictions served concurrently as one offense and juvenile convictions entered on the same date as one offense (RCW 9.94A.360 ).

ADULT HISTORY  Enter number of felony drug convictions* (as defined by RCW 9  Enter number of other felony convictions		$\frac{x 3 = 6}{5 \times 1 = 6}$
JUVENILE HISTORY  Enter number of felony drug dispositions** (as defined by RCW  Enter number of other serious violent and violent felony disposit  Enter number of other felony dispositions	tions	$\begin{array}{c} x \ 2 = \\ x \ 1 = \\ \hline x \ 1/2 = 2^{4} \end{array}$
OTHER CURRENT OFFENSES: (Those offenses not encompassing the Enter number of other felony drug convictions* (as defined by R Enter number of other felony convictions	RCW 9.94A.030 (16))	<b>,</b> _
STANDARD R	RANGE CALCULATION*	
VUCSA: PWI - Cocaine	VIII	8) TO [[6]
CURRENT OFFENSE BEING SCORED	SERIOUSNESS OFFENDER LEVEL SCORE	LOW HIGH STANDARD SENTENCE RANGE

<sup>\*</sup> If the court orders a deadly weapons enhancement, use the applicable enhancement sheets on pages 111-14 or 111-15 to calculate the enhanced sentence.

Add additional time to the standard range for some drug offenses committed in a correctional facility or in a protected zone. See the individual offense reference sheets for specifics.

<sup>\*</sup> If Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative (DOSA) eligible: see DOSA form for alternative sentence on page III-18.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Supreme Court clairified that solicitations to commit violations of the Uniform Controlled Substances Act (RCW 89.50) are not "drug offenses" and are not subject to the multiple "scoring" requirements for drug offenses, under RCW 9.94A.360, or to the community placement requirement for drug offenses, under RCW 9.94A.120(9)(a). See <u>In re-Hopkins</u>, 137 Wn. 2d 897 (1999).

# APPENDIX B TO PLEA AGREEMENT PROSECUTOR'S UNDERSTANDING OF DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY (SENTENCING REFORM ACT)

Defendant: ANTHONY L BRADLEY

FBI No.: 57122TA8

State ID No.: WA14830884

DOC No.: 707050

This criminal history compiled on: August 22, 2002

None known. Recommendations an	d standard range assumes no prior felony convictions.
Criminal history not known and no	t received at this time. WASIS/NCIC last received on 08/22/2002
Adult Felonies	•
Offense	Score Disposition
97-1-05739-2 04/23/1997	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 12/19/1997 serve 77m doc
vucsa - pwi cocaine	conc w/97-1-00617-8sea.
97-1-00617-8 10/07/1994	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 09/05/1997 16m doc. 03 1
vucsa - possess cocaine	02 ord mod sent. 14d jail.
94-1-07524-8 06/30/1994	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 03/17/1995 p/guilty. serve
vucsa - possess cocaine	13m doc. pay kc drug fund \$100.00. pay state crime lab fee
	\$100.00. pay costs. pay cv/pen asst \$100.00.
93-1-04407-7 08/14/1993	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 11/19/1993 p/guilty. serve
attmpt to elude pursuing poli	kc jail conc w/93-1-04345-3. 12m comm supv. pay costs. pa
<u>.</u>	cv/pen asst \$100.00.
93-1-04345-3 03/10/1993	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 11/19/1993 p/guilty. serve
vucsa - possess cocaine	kc jail conc w/93-1-04407-7. 12m comm supv. pay kc drug t
•	\$200.00. pay cv/pen asst \$100.00.
93-1-01608-1 03/02/1993	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 04/27/1993 p/guilty. serve
vucsa - pwi cocaine	ke jail. 12m comm supv. pay costs. pay cv/pen asst \$100. 0
•	10 93 ord mod sent. serve 7d kc jail.
	-
	•
Adult Misdemeanors	Score Disposition
Offense	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 05/31/2002 sntcd 12m jail
01-1-10608-9 11/09/2001	suspd. serve 4m jail. 12m prob.
attempted vucsa 06/26/1997	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Guilty
312,02 01	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Gunty
obstruction 12/05/1906	WA Scattle Municipal Court - Guilty
297799 SP 12/05/1996	WA Scattle Municipal Court - Gunty
susp.ol.2nd	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Guilty
297728 SP 12/03/1996	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Gunty
susp.ol 1st	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Guilty
263413 SP 01/15/1996	WA Seame Municipal Court - Gunty
obstruction	WA Endoral Way Div King Co District Ct - Guilty
N00058575 FP 08/26/1994	WA Federal Way Div King Co District Ct - Guilty
N00058575 FP 08/26/1994 no valid drivers license	
N00058575 FP 08/26/1994	WA Federal Way Div King Co District Ct - Guilty  WA Seattle Municipal Court - Guilty

## APPENDIX B TO PLEA AGREEMENT PROSECUTOR'S UNDERSTANDING OF DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY (SENTENCING REFORM ACT)

Defendant: ANTHONY L BRADLEY

FBI No.: 57122TA8

State ID No.: WA14830884

DOC No.: 707050

Adult Misdemea
----------------

Offense	•	Sçore Disposition
179685 SP	12/11/1993	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Guilty
susp.ol,2nd	·	
M00009882 FP	12/02/1993	WA Federal Way Div King Co District Ct - Guilty
failure to deliver	eased pro	
7603531 WS	10/19/1993	WA Southwest Div King Co Dist Ct - Guilty
no valid drivers li	cense/expi	
178221 SP	10/09/1993	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Guilty
h/r attended		
178221 SP	10/09/1993	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Guilty
neg. driving		
178221 SP	10/09/1993	WA Seattle Municipal Court - Guilty
susp.ol.2nd		
M00006011 KC	09/10/1993	WA Seattle District Court - Guilty
dwls/r - 2nd degre	ee	

Juvenile Felonies

Offense	-	Score Disposition
918034941	06/08/91	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 07/10/1991 80 weeks
S(UL)   vucsa - pwi		0 0 00 00 12
908001794	12/27/89	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 02/15/1990 13 weeks
vucsa - possess		
898025525	05/13/89	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 07/18/1989 12 months comm
S(11) 898025525 vucsa - delivery		sup; 56 hours comm svc; 15 days detention
F E	02/24/89	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 07/18/1989 3 months comm
Collination Policed		sup; 16 hours comm svc; 2 days detention
898007551	11/11/88	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 04/17/1989 6 months comm
898007551 yucsa - delivery		sup; 40 hours comm svc; 10 days dentention

Juvenile Misdemeanors

Offense	Score Disposition
918042952 03/08/91	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 09/10/1991
obstruction	
918024130 02/08/91	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 07/22/1991
vucsa poss mjt It 40g	0.11.07/00/1001
918024130 02/08/91	WA King Superior Court - Guilty 07/22/1991
criminal trespass 2	

### Comments

Page 2

Prepared by:

Karen Smith, CCA

Department of Corrections

STATE'S SENTENCE RECOMMENDATION

_ 1	OFFENSE ;COMMITT	ED on or after //1/2000; SENTEN	CE <u>OVER ONE YEA</u>	<u>(R)</u>
Date of Crime:		Date: _	8/26/2	
Defendant: Arthur (	الماندي	Cause No.: 62-(-0	7413 - E	SEZVKNT
State recommends that the defendant b	e sentenced to a term of t	total confinement in the Departmen	t of Corrections as fo	llows:
Count I 87	months	Count IV		months
Count II	months	Count V		months
Count III	months	Count VI		months
Terms on each count to run concurrent Terms to be served concurrently consec Terms to be consecutive to any other te  WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT - I enhancement time: months for C	rm(s) not specifically ref RCW 9.94A.310: The all months i	erred to in this form.  bove recommended term(s) of confifor Ct.  months for Ct.	nement include the fo	mandatory, served without
good time and served consecutive to an months.	y other term of confinem	ent. The total of all recommended	terms of confinemen	in this cause is:
☐ WORK ETHIC CAMP - RCW 9.5 current offense is not VUCSA or VUCS not recommended. If not, why not:	A solicitation for crimes	s after 7/25/99; no current or prior	n 12 months and 1 da violent or sex offense	y; not more than 36 months; ). Work Ethic Camp <u>is/is</u>
☐ DRUG OFFENDER SENTENCE offenses; 2) no weapon enhancement; 3 Recommendation form instead of this fo	) if VUCSA "small quan	tity" of drugs, 4) not deportable. (1	f DOSA is recommen	ior violent offenses, sex ided, use DOSA
☐ EXCEPTIONAL SENTENCE: R	CW 9.94A.120(2): RCV	V 9.94(a) 390 This is an exception	al septence, and the s	unhetantial and compelling
reasons for departing from the presump			ar bentonoo, and mo	Lostantial and compening
□ NO CONTACT: For the maximum	term, defendant have no	contact with		
King County Local Drug F \$1,000, fine for VUCSA; Sosts of incarceration in K	2) and RCW 9.94A.145. The "Plea Agreement" page 100 Victim Penalty Assess fund \$ \( \frac{1}{2} \), \( \frac	ge and $\square$ Appendix C.  Sment, recoupment of cost for appoint 1\$100 lab fee RCW 43.43.690.	nted counsel.	
COMMUNITY CUSTODY (RCW 9.9 serve a term of community custody for t				
☐ Sex Offense	36 – 48 months	☐ Crimes Against Persons	9 – 18 months	Check box for
☐ Serious Violent Offense ☐ Violent Offense	24 – 48 months 18 – 36 months	Violation of Ch. 69.50 or .52	9 – 12 months	largest applicable range
		<del></del>		1 3
Discretionary conditions recommended MANDATORY CONSEQUENCES: lassociated with needle use. DNA testing Revocation (RCW 46.20.285; RCW 69.	IIV blood testing (RCW (RCW 43.43.754) for a	ny sex offense or violent offense as	defined in RCW 9.94	
		Approved	by:	
•		Deputy Pro	esecuting Attorney \	WSBA No.
KING COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORN	EY	710	5 <u>L</u>	

Revised 7/2000

APPENDIX F


		•
	SCOMIS CODE:	
	GPOH GPSH SNTHRG MTHRG	
	Department: PROTEM JUDGE: Barbara Harris	. •
•	Date: SEP 2 6 2002 BAILIFF: BONNIE LARSON	
	Page 1 of 2 COURT CLERK: PATRICIA J. NOBLE	
	REPORTER: ELECTRONIC RECORDING	· · ·
	King County Cause No. 02.1.CH718.8 SEA	
	Case Caption  Atthorny Bulletin	
	STATE OF WASHINGTON VS. anthony BRady	
		<del></del>
		<del></del> ·
	Litigants and Attorneys State appearing by DPA STENENKIM	
•	State appearing by DPA SUNKA MM	<del></del>
	Defendant present with source Au Like Mai & both of	
•	Defendant present with counsel (Mile Saisforco)	<u>-                                      </u>
,		
		<del></del>
		<del></del>
		<u> </u>
:		
		•
•		
		•
•		

K.C. Cause No. 02.1.04718.8864 P.	age 2 of <u>2</u>
Caption: State vs. Anthony Bradly Date SE	P 2 6 2002
MINUTE ENTRY	
Change of Plea.	
Stipulation, Oath and Order Appointing Judge Pro Tempore	is signed.
State's motion to amend /Lorally amend information to IMCS	A Poss of
Granted/Denied. Amended Information is filed Lto be filed.	Much
Granted/Demed Amended Information is filed to be med.	
Defendant is airaigned waives formal arraignment.	
Defendant withdraws plea of Not Guilty, previously entered, enters a plea Lan Alford plea of Guilty.	and
Statement of Defendant on Plea of Guilty is executed.	
Order Striking Trial Date is signed.	
Sentencing: lollo 2 @ lpm	
before Judge: Comstrock in Room 15710	4
	·
	A MANAGEMENT OF THE STATE OF TH
	•

.

٠.

•



		•
	SCOMIS CODE: GPOHGPSHSNTHRGMTHRG	
	Department: PROTEM JUDGE: Barbara Harris  Date: SEP 2 6 2002 BAILIFF: BONNIE LARSON	
	Page 1 of 2 COURT CLERK: PATRICIA J. NOBLE REPORTER: ELECTRONIC RECORDING	
	King County Cause No. <u>02.1.07413.45EA</u>	
	Case Caption STATE OF WASHINGTON VS. Anthony Beadly	•
•		
	Litigants and Attorneys State appearing by DPA Steven Kim	
-	Defendant present with counsel Julie Gusfixal	
•		
		<del>-</del> .
·		
•		

· ·

ise No. <u>Ud·/</u>		77.	Page 2 of
State vs	1.07413.45 thony Brad	Dat	e <u>SEP 26 200</u>
	MINUTE EI	NTRY	
	MINOTELI	VIIVI	·
Change of Plea	•		
		•	
Stipulation, Oat	h and Order Appointir	ng Judge Pro T	empore is signed.
4		1:	
state's motion to	o amend / orally ame	nd information	to
Granfed/Denied	. Amended Informati	on is filed / to h	pe filed
014110412011104		•	
Defendant is an	aigned / waives form	al arraignment.	
D. C. Janes C. Steller	lunior whom of Mak Cuit	tv. previously e	entered, and
	Iraws plea of Not Guil		
	rraws plea of Not Guilty  Alford plea-of Guilty		
enters a pleal/a	in Alford plea-of Guilty	/.	
enters a pleal	in Alford plea of Guilty efendant on Plea of G	/.	
enters a pleal	in Alford plea-of Guilty	/.	
enters a pleated Statement of De	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.
enters a plead of De Statement of De Order Striking To Sentencing:	in Alford plea-of Guilty efendant on Plea of G rial Date is signed.	/. uilty is execute @	d.

#### **CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE**

Today I deposited in the mails of the United States of America, a properly stamped and addressed envelope directed to Dana Lind, Nielsen, Broman & Koch, 1908 East Madison, Seattle, WA 98122, attorneys for the petitioner, containing a copy of the Supplemental Brief of Respondent in <u>In re Personal Restraint of Anthony</u>

<u>Bradley</u>, No. 81045-1, in the Supreme Court of the State of Washington.

I certify under penalty of perjury of the laws of the state of Washington that the

foregoing is true and correct

Name

Done in Seattle, Washington

Date